IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 5, No. 1, June 2018, pp. 16~20
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v5i1.pp16-20

From algorithms to hardware: integrating MPPT and high-performance DC–DC converters in solar PV applications

Watra Arsadiando, Hendril Satrian Purnama
Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jan 10, 2017
Revised Sep 30, 2017
Accepted Feb 23, 2018

Keywords:

DC–DC converters
digital control
FPGA
maximum power point tracking
Photovoltaic systems
power conversion efficiency

ABSTRACT

Efficient photovoltaic (PV) energy conversion requires more than identifying the maximum power point; it also requires a power conversion stage capable of translating tracking decisions into stable electrical operation. Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms and DC–DC converters therefore form an interconnected control system whose combined performance determines the energy extracted from PV modules. This review examines the integration of MPPT algorithms with high-performance DC–DC converters, focusing on control architecture, converter topology, digital implementation, and practical operating constraints. Conventional algorithms, intelligent controllers, and optimization-based techniques are discussed alongside buck, boost, buck–boost, and interleaved converter structures. Particular attention is given to hardware implementation using microcontrollers, digital signal processors (DSPs), and field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs). The reviewed literature shows that tracking accuracy alone does not guarantee high system efficiency, since switching losses, converter dynamics, sampling delays, and control-loop interactions also influence performance. Effective integration consequently requires coordinated algorithm design, converter selection, and experimental validation. The review identifies implementation challenges and proposes design priorities for developing reliable, efficient, and scalable PV power conversion systems.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Photovoltaic (PV) systems operate under environmental conditions that continuously influence their electrical output. Changes in irradiance, temperature, and load demand shift the voltage and current at which a PV module delivers its maximum available power. Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms address this problem by adjusting the operating point of the PV source, typically through the duty cycle of a DC–DC converter. Consequently, MPPT performance depends not only on the algorithm itself but also on the converter and controller that implement its decisions [1], [2].

A key engineering challenge is translating an algorithmic tracking command into a fast, stable, and efficient hardware response. A controller may calculate an appropriate reference, but the converter can introduce delays, ripple, switching losses, and operating constraints that affect the actual PV operating point. Conversely, a well-designed converter cannot achieve optimal energy extraction if its control algorithm responds too slowly or becomes trapped away from the maximum power point. This interdependence makes integrated MPPT and converter design more important than treating the two components as separate subsystems [3], [4].

This review examines the relationship between MPPT algorithms, DC–DC converter architectures, and digital hardware implementation in PV applications. It considers conventional and intelligent tracking approaches, converter topologies, control-loop integration, and implementation using microcontrollers, digital signal processors (DSPs), and field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs). The literature selection is restricted to peer-reviewed publications available up to December 2016. The analysis follows the engineering

process from algorithm selection and converter design to hardware implementation, performance assessment, and future research priorities.

2. MPPT ALGORITHMS AND THEIR IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Conventional MPPT algorithms remain attractive because they offer relatively straightforward implementation and modest computational requirements. Perturb and observe (P&O) modifies the PV operating voltage or converter duty cycle and evaluates the resulting power variation to determine the next control action. Incremental conductance (IncCond) uses measured voltage and current changes to identify the maximum power point, potentially reducing steady-state oscillation compared with basic P&O. Both methods are widely studied because they can be implemented on relatively inexpensive digital controllers [1], [2].

The limitations of conventional algorithms become more apparent when irradiance changes rapidly or the PV array experiences partial shading. P&O may confuse an environmental power change with the effect of its own perturbation, leading to an incorrect control direction. IncCond can improve tracking behavior under changing conditions, but measurement noise, sampling intervals, and decision thresholds still influence its response. Furthermore, neither method inherently guarantees global maximum power point identification when partial shading produces multiple local peaks [5], [6].

Intelligent algorithms offer additional options when the tracking problem becomes more complex. Fuzzy logic controllers can use changes in PV power and voltage as inputs to generate adaptive control actions without requiring an exact mathematical model of the PV array. Neural networks can estimate the operating voltage or current associated with maximum power using environmental or electrical measurements. Genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization can also support parameter tuning or global search, although their computational requirements must be considered before real-time implementation [7], [8].

The choice of MPPT algorithm should therefore reflect both tracking performance and available hardware resources. Rezk and Eltamaly [9] compared several MPPT techniques, including P&O, IncCond, hill climbing, and fuzzy logic, emphasizing the importance of transient and steady-state behavior. Jordehi [6] reviewed different MPPT approaches and identified implementation complexity, tracking speed, and operating conditions as important selection criteria. These studies support an application-oriented approach in which algorithmic sophistication is justified by measurable improvements in energy extraction and dynamic response.

3. DC–DC CONVERTER ARCHITECTURES FOR PV APPLICATIONS

The DC–DC converter provides the physical interface between the PV module and the electrical load or subsequent conversion stage. Its topology determines the available voltage conversion range, input-current characteristics, component stress, and control behavior. Buck converters are suitable when the PV voltage exceeds the required output voltage, whereas boost converters are commonly used when a low-voltage PV source must supply a higher DC-link voltage. Buck–boost structures provide wider voltage flexibility but may introduce additional component stress and control complexity [3], [4].

The converter must also provide a suitable dynamic response for the selected MPPT algorithm. A slow converter response can cause the controller to evaluate power before the PV operating point has settled, resulting in inaccurate tracking decisions. Excessive input-current ripple can affect voltage and current measurements, while switching losses reduce the net energy delivered to the load. Taghvaee *et al.* [3] reviewed non-isolated DC–DC converters for PV applications and highlighted the relationship between topology characteristics and MPPT implementation.

Interleaved and high-gain converter structures can address specific requirements that conventional single-stage converters may not satisfy. Interleaved boost converters distribute current among parallel phases, potentially reducing input-current ripple and semiconductor current stress. High-step-up structures are useful when the PV voltage is substantially lower than the required DC-link voltage, although their additional components and magnetic elements may affect cost and efficiency. Li and He [4] reviewed non-isolated high-step-up converters for PV grid-connected applications, discussing their voltage-gain characteristics and practical design considerations.

Converter selection should consequently be based on the complete operating range rather than nominal voltage alone. The design must consider power rating, input and output ripple, switching frequency, semiconductor ratings, thermal behavior, and expected efficiency. It should also account for whether galvanic isolation, modular operation, or energy storage integration is required. The converter and MPPT controller should be evaluated as one system because their dynamic and electrical characteristics directly influence one another [3], [4], [10].

4. INTEGRATING MPPT ALGORITHMS WITH CONVERTER CONTROL

An integrated MPPT and converter controller generally follows one of two architectures. In direct control, the MPPT algorithm generates the converter duty cycle or switching command directly, reducing the number of control stages between the tracking decision and the power circuit. In indirect control, the MPPT algorithm generates a voltage or current reference that is followed by an inner feedback controller. The direct approach can simplify the control structure, whereas the indirect approach provides a more explicit mechanism for regulating converter dynamics and limiting operating deviations [8], [11].

The inner control loop is important because it determines how accurately the converter follows the reference generated by the MPPT algorithm. A voltage-control loop can regulate the PV terminal voltage, while a current-control loop can provide direct management of converter input current. The appropriate arrangement depends on converter topology, load characteristics, and the desired dynamic response. Poorly coordinated loop bandwidths may cause oscillation, slow settling, or interaction between MPPT perturbations and converter regulation [11].

Sampling and switching synchronization also influence the quality of integrated control. Voltage and current measurements should be acquired at appropriate points in the switching cycle to reduce the effects of switching ripple and measurement noise. The MPPT update interval should allow sufficient converter settling while remaining fast enough to follow meaningful environmental changes. Therefore, selecting an MPPT algorithm without specifying its sampling strategy, converter response, and control-loop structure provides an incomplete assessment of practical performance [2], [9].

5. DIGITAL HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION

Digital controllers provide a flexible platform for implementing MPPT algorithms and generating converter switching signals. Microcontrollers are attractive for low- and medium-power systems because they combine measurement interfaces, timers, and processing functions in a relatively compact device. DSPs provide stronger support for numerical operations and are useful when the control algorithm involves filtering, estimation, or more demanding real-time calculations. Hua *et al.* [11] demonstrated a DSP-controlled PV system with peak power tracking, illustrating the practical role of digital processing in PV power conversion.

FPGAs offer a different implementation approach by allowing control functions to be mapped into configurable hardware logic. Unlike a processor that generally executes instructions sequentially, an FPGA can perform several operations concurrently through dedicated logic circuits. This capability can be beneficial when measurement processing, control calculations, and PWM generation must operate with predictable timing. Messai *et al.* [7] demonstrated an FPGA implementation of a fuzzy logic MPPT controller using VHDL, showing how an intelligent tracking algorithm can be translated into reconfigurable hardware.

The use of FPGA hardware can also support more complex control structures, but it introduces additional design and verification requirements. Engineers must consider fixed-point precision, resource utilization, clock frequency, timing closure, and the interface between the digital controller and power electronics. A computationally demanding algorithm may be feasible in simulation but unsuitable for a low-cost FPGA if its logic and memory requirements exceed available resources. Accordingly, hardware implementation should be evaluated using both control performance and implementation metrics rather than algorithmic accuracy alone [7], [8].

Table 1 compares the main digital implementation platforms and their relevance to integrated MPPT and converter control. The comparison emphasizes the balance between computational capability, timing behavior, flexibility, and engineering effort. It also illustrates why controller selection should follow the requirements of the complete PV conversion system. A platform with the highest computational capability is not necessarily the most appropriate when cost, power consumption, and maintainability are dominant considerations.

Table 1. Comparison of digital platforms for integrated MPPT and DC–DC converter control

Platform	Main strengths	Main limitations	Typical suitability
Microcontroller	Low cost, integrated peripherals, accessible development	Limited processing capacity for demanding algorithms	Conventional MPPT and compact converters
DSP	Efficient numerical processing and real-time control	Sequential processing and device-specific development	Advanced MPPT and multi-loop converter control
FPGA	Parallel processing and deterministic hardware timing	Hardware design complexity and resource constraints	Fuzzy control, parallel processing, and specialized PWM
Hybrid processor–FPGA	Combines software flexibility with hardware parallelism	More complex integration and verification	Advanced or multi-function PV power conversion

6. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND DESIGN TRADE-OFFS

The effectiveness of an integrated PV conversion system should be evaluated through more than MPPT tracking efficiency. Important metrics include converter efficiency, steady-state oscillation, dynamic response, input-current ripple, output-voltage regulation, and energy harvested over a representative operating period. Rezk and Eltamaly demonstrated the value of examining both transient and steady-state tracking behavior when comparing MPPT methods [9]. Jordehi [6] likewise emphasized that algorithm selection should account for practical implementation characteristics rather than relying on theoretical tracking performance alone.

A fair evaluation should distinguish between the efficiency of the MPPT algorithm and the efficiency of the power conversion stage. A controller may identify the correct operating point while the converter dissipates a significant proportion of the extracted energy through switching and conduction losses. Similarly, a highly efficient converter may deliver less energy than expected if the MPPT algorithm tracks slowly or operates away from the maximum power point. System-level evaluation should therefore measure the energy delivered after conversion under comparable environmental and loading conditions [3], [9].

The main design trade-offs are summarized in Table 2. Conventional algorithms generally require fewer resources, while intelligent approaches may improve tracking under complex conditions but increase processing and tuning requirements. Interleaved and high-gain converters can improve specific electrical characteristics, but their additional components may increase design complexity. The table provides a practical framework for matching algorithm and converter choices to application requirements.

Table 2. Integrated MPPT and converter design considerations

Design factor	MPPT-related consideration	Converter-related consideration
Dynamic response	Update rate and convergence behavior	Settling time and control bandwidth
Efficiency	Tracking error and steady-state oscillation	Switching, conduction, and magnetic losses
Measurement quality	Sensor resolution and filtering	Ripple and switching noise
Hardware complexity	Algorithm processing and memory	Gate drivers, protection, and passive components
Reliability	Robustness to environmental changes	Thermal stress and semiconductor ratings
Cost	Controller and development requirements	Component count and power-stage construction

7. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A major challenge is achieving a consistent balance between algorithm complexity and real-time hardware capability. Intelligent MPPT methods may improve tracking under partial shading or rapidly changing irradiance, but their implementation can require additional processing, memory, and measurement resources. Future work should investigate adaptive algorithms that use conventional tracking during stable operation and activate more computationally intensive search procedures only when necessary. Such architectures could reduce hardware demands while preserving the ability to respond to difficult operating conditions [6], [8], [6].

Another priority is developing standardized experimental methods for evaluating the complete PV conversion chain. Comparisons should report the PV operating conditions, converter topology, switching frequency, sampling strategy, controller platform, and measurement procedure. Hardware-in-the-loop testing can help identify timing and integration problems before deployment in a physical PV system. More comprehensive testing under variable irradiance, temperature changes, partial shading, and load disturbances would provide stronger evidence of practical performance [2], [9], [10].

8. CONCLUSION

Integrating MPPT algorithms with high-performance DC–DC converters is essential for achieving efficient and reliable PV energy conversion. The tracking algorithm determines the desired operating point, while the converter and digital controller determine how accurately and efficiently that operating point can be realized. Conventional methods remain attractive for simple applications, whereas intelligent algorithms and reconfigurable hardware offer opportunities for more demanding operating conditions. However, their advantages depend on coordinated design of control-loop dynamics, sampling, converter topology, and hardware resources. Future PV systems should therefore be developed through integrated algorithm-to-hardware methodologies supported by comprehensive experimental validation.

References

[1] T. Esram and P. L. Chapman, "Comparison of photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 439–449, 2007, doi: 10.1109/TEC.2006.874230.

[2] H. J. El-Khozondar, R. J. El-Khozondar, K. Matter, and T. Suntio, "A review study of photovoltaic array maximum power tracking algorithms," *Renewables: Wind, Water, and Solar*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s40807-016-0022-8.
[3] M. H. Taghvaee, M. A. M. Radzi, S. M. Moosavain, H. Hizam, and M. Hamiruce Marhaban, "A current and future study on non-isolated DC-DC converters for photovoltaic applications," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 17, pp. 216–227, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.09.023.
[4] W. Li and X. He, "Review of nonisolated high-step-up DC/DC converters in photovoltaic grid-connected applications," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1239–1250, 2011, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2049715.
[5] K. Ishaque and Z. Salam, "A review of maximum power point tracking techniques of PV system for uniform insolation and partial shading condition," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 19, pp. 475–488, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.032.
[6] A. R. Jordehi, "Maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic (PV) systems: A review of different approaches," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 65, pp. 1127–1138, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.07.053.
[7] A. Messai, A. Mellit, A. Guessoum, and S. A. Kalogirou, "Maximum power point tracking using a GA optimized fuzzy logic controller and its FPGA implementation," *Solar Energy*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 265–277, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2010.12.004.
[8] A. Messai, A. Mellit, P. A. Massi, A. Guessoum, and H. Mekki, "FPGA-based implementation of a fuzzy controller (MPPT) for photovoltaic module," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 2695–2704, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2011.01.021.
[9] H. Rezk and A. M. Eltamaly, "A comprehensive comparison of different MPPT techniques for photovoltaic systems," *Solar Energy*, vol. 112, pp. 1–11, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2014.11.010.
[10] C. Buccella, C. Cecati, and H. Latafat, "Digital control of power converters - A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 437–447, 2012, doi: 10.1109/TII.2012.2192280.
[11] C. Hua, J. Lin, and C. Shen, "Implementation of a DSP-controlled photovoltaic system with peak power tracking," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 99–107, 1998, doi: 10.1109/41.661310.