IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 7, No. 1, June 2020, pp. 17~20
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v7i1.pp17-20

Microcontroller-based wearable healthcare monitoring systems: a review of physiological sensors, embedded signal processing, and real-time patient monitoring

Lina Handayani[1], Tri Wahono[2], Hendril Satrian Purnama[2]
[1]Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Sep 1, 2018
Revised Aug 15, 2019
Accepted Sep 7, 2019

Keywords:

ECG monitoring
Embedded processing
Microcontroller
Patient monitoring
Physiological sensors
Wearable healthcare
Wireless communication

ABSTRACT

Wearable healthcare monitoring systems have become an important technological approach for continuous observation of human physiological conditions. The integration of microcontrollers with compact biomedical sensors enables the development of portable, low-cost, and energy-efficient devices capable of collecting and processing physiological information. This review examines the fundamental architecture and technological development of microcontroller-based wearable healthcare monitoring systems, emphasizing physiological sensing, embedded signal processing, and real-time monitoring. Particular attention is given to heart rate, electrocardiogram (ECG), body temperature, blood oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate measurements. The roles of microcontroller platforms, analog-to-digital conversion, digital filtering, and sensor calibration are also discussed. Furthermore, this paper evaluates wireless communication technologies that facilitate the transmission of physiological measurements to smartphones and remote monitoring platforms. The advantages and limitations of wearable monitoring devices are analyzed in relation to measurement accuracy, power consumption, portability, and usability. The review highlights that successful implementation requires coordinated optimization of sensor performance, embedded processing capabilities, and communication reliability. Future developments should prioritize improved signal quality, energy efficiency, interoperability, and clinical validation to support dependable wearable healthcare applications.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand for accessible healthcare services has encouraged the development of electronic systems capable of monitoring physiological conditions outside conventional clinical environments. Traditional medical monitoring equipment generally requires patients to remain connected to relatively large instruments, which can restrict mobility and continuous observation. Wearable healthcare monitoring systems provide an alternative by integrating miniature sensors, microcontrollers, and wireless communication modules into portable devices. A microcontroller serves as the central processing component in these systems because it coordinates sensor acquisition, signal conversion, data processing, and communication. Platforms such as Arduino, ESP32, and other embedded controllers can be integrated with biomedical sensors to construct relatively inexpensive monitoring prototypes. Consequently, microcontroller-based wearable devices offer opportunities for continuous physiological observation in home healthcare, elderly care, and preventive medicine [1], [2].

This review focuses on the engineering aspects of wearable healthcare monitoring, particularly the relationship between physiological sensors and embedded processing. It also evaluates the technical limitations that must be addressed before wearable prototypes can be considered dependable healthcare instruments.

2. PHYSIOLOGICAL SENSING TECHNOLOGIES

Physiological sensing is the first stage of wearable healthcare monitoring because the quality of collected signals directly influences subsequent processing and interpretation. Different biomedical parameters require different sensing principles, electrical interfaces, and physical sensor placements. Table 1 summarizes commonly used physiological measurements and their corresponding sensing technologies.

Table 1. Physiological parameters and sensor technologies in wearable monitoring systems

Physiological parameter	Sensor technology	Measurement principle	Typical application
Heart rate	Photoplethysmography (PPG)	Optical detection of blood volume changes	Heart rate monitoring
electrocardiogram (ECG)	Electrodes and ECG analog front-end	Detection of cardiac electrical activity	Cardiac rhythm monitoring
Body temperature	Thermistor or digital temperature sensor	Temperature-dependent electrical response	Fever detection
Blood oxygen saturation	Red and infrared optical sensor	Differential light absorption	Oxygen saturation monitoring
Respiratory rate	Pressure, temperature, or motion sensor	Detection of breathing-related changes	Respiratory monitoring
Physical activity	Accelerometer and gyroscope	Measurement of body movement	Activity and fall monitoring

Heart rate measurement is commonly implemented using photoplethysmography (PPG) sensors, which detect variations in blood volume through optical signals. These sensors typically use light-emitting diodes and photodetectors to identify periodic changes associated with cardiac activity. However, motion artifacts, skin characteristics, sensor positioning, and ambient light can influence measurement accuracy [3].

Electrocardiography provides another important sensing method because it records electrical activity generated by the heart. Electrocardiogram (ECG) electrodes detect small electrical potential differences, which are subsequently amplified and conditioned before digital processing. Compared with optical heart rate sensors, ECG systems provide waveform information that can support more detailed cardiac rhythm analysis.

Body temperature and oxygen saturation are also important parameters in wearable healthcare applications. Temperature sensors can be implemented using thermistors or integrated digital sensing devices, whereas pulse oximetry generally relies on red and infrared optical measurements. Combining these sensors allows a single wearable platform to monitor several physiological parameters simultaneously.

3. MICROCONTROLLER ARCHITECTURE AND EMBEDDED PROCESSING

The microcontroller acts as the primary control unit that connects physiological sensors with the monitoring application. Its responsibilities include sensor initialization, sampling control, analog-to-digital conversion, signal conditioning, and data transmission. The selection of a microcontroller depends on processing capacity, memory, peripheral availability, communication interfaces, and power consumption.

Embedded signal processing is essential because raw physiological measurements frequently contain noise and unwanted disturbances. Digital filtering can reduce high-frequency interference, baseline fluctuations, and other artifacts before measurements are displayed or transmitted. Signal-processing techniques must be selected according to the characteristics of the physiological signal and the computational limitations of the controller.

For example, ECG monitoring requires sufficient sampling resolution and signal conditioning to preserve relevant waveform characteristics. PPG monitoring requires the identification of periodic optical variations while minimizing the influence of movement and environmental interference. These requirements demonstrate that a microcontroller-based system must balance computational simplicity with measurement quality.

The comparison in Table 2 indicates that no single microcontroller platform is optimal for every healthcare application. Simple monitoring devices can operate using relatively basic controllers, whereas systems requiring multiple sensors and wireless communication benefit from more capable platforms. Nevertheless, processing capability alone does not guarantee clinical accuracy because sensor quality, circuit design, calibration, and software validation remain essential.

Table 2. Comparison of microcontroller platforms for wearable healthcare applications

Microcontroller platform	Main characteristics	Potential healthcare applications	Main limitation
Arduino Uno	Simple architecture and extensive sensor compatibility	Basic temperature and heart rate monitoring	Limited memory and processing capacity
ESP8266	Integrated Wi-Fi connectivity	Remote physiological data transmission	Limited bluetooth support
ESP32	Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, and multiple peripheral interfaces	Wearable and IoT healthcare monitoring	Power consumption requires optimization
ARM Cortex-M	Low-power embedded processing options	ECG acquisition and physiological signal processing	More complex development
PIC Microcontroller	Configurable embedded control and peripheral interfaces	Dedicated medical monitoring prototypes	Development ecosystem varies by device

4. WIRELESS COMMUNICATION AND DATA MONITORING

Wireless communication allows wearable monitoring devices to transmit physiological measurements without requiring permanent wired connections. Bluetooth low energy (BLE) is particularly suitable for wearable applications because it supports communication with smartphones while enabling relatively low-power operation. Wi-Fi provides an alternative when direct connectivity to network-based monitoring services is required.

A typical architecture consists of physiological sensors connected to a microcontroller, followed by a wireless communication module and a receiving device. The receiving device can display physiological measurements, store historical records, and provide information to healthcare personnel. Smartphone-based health monitoring has also been investigated as an approach for combining mobile sensing, processing, and healthcare applications [4].

However, wireless transmission introduces additional engineering considerations, including connection stability, transmission delay, packet loss, and energy consumption. Continuous data transmission can shorten battery operating time, particularly when several sensors are active simultaneously. Therefore, communication protocols and transmission intervals should be selected according to the clinical importance and required frequency of the monitored parameters.

5. TECHNICAL CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Despite their potential, wearable healthcare monitoring systems face several technical challenges. Sensor displacement, body movement, environmental interference, and differences between individuals can affect physiological measurement accuracy. These problems require improved sensor placement, calibration procedures, artifact reduction, and comparison with validated reference instruments.

Another important challenge is energy management because wearable devices are expected to operate for extended periods without frequent battery replacement or charging. Low-power microcontrollers, optimized sampling intervals, efficient wireless protocols, and suitable battery management circuits can help reduce energy consumption. Furthermore, miniaturization must not compromise electrical safety, skin comfort, or the reliability of sensor connections.

Future developments should emphasize multi-parameter sensing and more efficient embedded algorithms. Flexible wearable sensors and improved biosensing technologies may expand monitoring capabilities beyond conventional vital signs [5]. Nevertheless, clinical validation remains necessary to determine whether these technologies provide sufficiently accurate and repeatable measurements for healthcare applications.

6. CONCLUSION

Microcontroller-based wearable healthcare monitoring systems provide a promising approach to continuous physiological observation through the integration of biomedical sensors, embedded processing, and wireless communication. Their principal advantages include portability, relatively low implementation costs, and the ability to monitor several physiological parameters within a compact platform. However, measurement accuracy, signal interference, battery life, and clinical validation remain important limitations. Future research should focus on improving sensor integration, embedded signal processing, communication efficiency, and the reliability of physiological measurements. These improvements are essential for transforming experimental wearable prototypes into dependable healthcare monitoring technologies.

REFERENCES

[1] D. R. Seshadri *et al.*, "Wearable sensors for monitoring the physiological and biochemical profile of the athlete," *npj Digital Medicine*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 72, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0150-9.

[2] S. Majumder and M. J. Deen, "Smartphone sensors for health monitoring and diagnosis," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 19, no. 9, p. 2164, May 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19092164.

[3] J. Kim, A. S. Campbell, B. E. F. de Ávila, and J. Wang, "Wearable biosensors for healthcare monitoring," *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 389–406, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0045-y.

[4] I. C. Jeong, D. Bychkov, and P. C. Searson, "Wearable devices for precision medicine and health state monitoring," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 1242–1258, May 2019, doi: 10.1109/TBME.2018.2871638.

[5] G. Yang, G. Pang, Z. Pang, Y. Gu, M. Mantysalo, and H. Yang, "Non-Invasive Flexible and Stretchable Wearable Sensors with Nano-Based Enhancement for Chronic Disease Care," *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 12, pp. 34–71, 2019, doi: 10.1109/RBME.2018.2887301.