IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 8, No. 1, June 2021, pp. 16~20
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v8i1.pp16-20

Digital magnetic compass with voice output: sensor technologies, direction estimation, accessibility, and design challenges-a review

Fahmi Fardiyan Arief[1], Hendril Satrian Purnama[2]
[1]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jul 23, 2019
Revised Dec 30, 2019
Accepted Jan 30, 2020

Keywords:

Assistive navigation
Digital magnetic compass
Heading estimation
Magnetometer
Sensor calibration
Voice output

ABSTRACT

A digital magnetic compass with voice output is an electronic navigation instrument that converts magnetic-field measurements into directional information presented visually and acoustically. Such a device can support conventional orientation tasks while providing an alternative interface for users who cannot easily access visual information. This review examines the operating principles, electronic architecture, magnetometer technologies, calibration methods, direction-processing techniques, and voice-interface requirements of digital magnetic compasses. Particular attention is given to hard-iron and soft-iron disturbances, sensor misalignment, tilt compensation, and the integration of accelerometers and gyroscopes. Existing implementations demonstrate that microcontrollers can coordinate directional sensing, liquid-crystal displays, and prerecorded voice messages to announce compass directions. However, reliable operation requires more than accurate magnetic measurements because speech timing, message clarity, power consumption, and user interaction also influence practical performance. The review synthesizes technical research published through 2020 and identifies the limitations of current approaches. It concludes that future systems should combine robust magnetic calibration, adaptive heading estimation, accessible voice interaction, and systematic testing in realistic environments.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

A magnetic compass remains a fundamental instrument for determining orientation relative to the Earth's magnetic field. Advances in electronic sensors and embedded processing have enabled conventional compass functions to be implemented in compact digital devices. Unlike a traditional compass, an electronic compass can provide numerical heading information, visual indicators, and additional communication functions. Integrating voice output creates an alternative means of accessing directional information, particularly for users with visual impairments [1], [2].

The principal research problem concerns the reliable conversion of magnetic-field measurements into understandable directional information. A digital compass may produce an incorrect heading when its magnetometer is affected by nearby ferromagnetic materials, electrical currents, sensor misalignment, or device inclination [3], [4]. These errors become especially important when the output is communicated through speech, because users may depend on the spoken direction without continuously checking a visual display. Therefore, an effective digital magnetic compass with voice output must integrate accurate sensing, stable processing, and accessible human-machine communication.

This review examines the electronic and functional principles of voice-enabled digital magnetic compasses using research published no later than 2020. The discussion covers magnetic sensing, embedded system architecture, calibration, heading estimation, and voice-output design. It also distinguishes direct research on voice-enabled compasses from supporting studies on magnetometer calibration and inertial

sensor fusion. The review aims to identify the technical requirements and research opportunities for developing dependable and accessible directional instruments.

2. REVIEW SCOPE AND RESEARCH PROBLEMS

The review adopts an integrative narrative approach because research on voice-enabled magnetic compasses is distributed across electronic instrumentation, navigation sensors, embedded systems, and assistive technology. Direct evidence is drawn primarily from the implementation of a microcontroller-based digital compass with voice output reported by Arief *et al.* [1]. Additional studies provide evidence about magnetometer accuracy, calibration procedures, orientation estimation, and magnetic interference [2]-[17]. This approach allows the engineering requirements of a voice-enabled compass to be discussed without treating every sensor-calibration study as a direct evaluation of an audio compass.

Four research problems guide the discussion. First, magnetic measurements are vulnerable to internal sensor errors and external magnetic disturbances, which can produce incorrect directional information [3], [5], [6]. Second, a compass that is not held horizontally requires tilt compensation to estimate heading correctly [4], [7]. Third, the electronic system must translate numerical heading values into clear and timely spoken directions without creating unnecessary delays or repeated messages. Finally, accessibility and practical usability require evaluation beyond basic sensor accuracy, including speech intelligibility, control simplicity, and operating reliability.

3. OPERATING PRINCIPLES AND SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

A digital magnetic compass uses a magnetometer to measure the magnetic-field components along its sensing axes. Three-axis magnetometers are commonly used because they can measure the field in three dimensions and support heading estimation when the device is tilted. The processing unit converts the measured components into a heading value relative to magnetic north. A microcontroller or embedded processor then supplies this information to a display and voice-output subsystem [2], [3].

A practical voice-enabled compass can be organized into five functional modules: magnetic sensing, signal processing, direction classification, visual indication, and audio output. The sensing module acquires magnetic-field data, while the processing module applies calibration and calculates the heading. The direction-classification stage converts the numerical heading into a compass label, such as north, northeast, east, southeast, south, southwest, west, or northwest. Finally, the display and speaker communicate the same directional result through complementary interfaces.

An early implementation by Arief *et al.* [1] used an AT89S52 microcontroller to control the system interfaces and process directional information. Their design employed a liquid-crystal display and an ISD2590 voice-recording integrated circuit to announce eight compass directions. The sensing mechanism used a modified conventional compass with a disc and phototransistor to detect directional position, rather than the modern three-axis magnetometer architecture commonly used in contemporary electronic compasses. This distinction is important because the prototype demonstrates the feasibility of spoken compass information, while later magnetometer studies provide relevant methods for improving electronic heading accuracy.

4. MAGNETIC SENSORS AND HEADING ESTIMATION

Magnetometer selection is a major design consideration because the sensor determines the quality of the raw directional measurements. Magnetic sensors used in navigation include magnetoresistive, fluxgate, and microelectromechanical-system-based devices, each with different characteristics in sensitivity, size, power consumption, and operating conditions [2]. Compact MEMS magnetometers are attractive for portable devices because they can be integrated with accelerometers and gyroscopes in small sensor packages. However, their measurements must be interpreted carefully because the surrounding environment can distort the Earth's magnetic field.

Heading estimation requires the magnetic-field vector to be considered relative to the horizontal plane. When the compass is tilted, the measured magnetic components change even if the device's heading relative to north remains constant. Accelerometers can provide information about gravity and device inclination, allowing the system to compensate for roll and pitch. Sensor-fusion methods can further combine magnetic and inertial measurements to improve orientation estimation [7], [8].

Madgwick *et al.* [7] proposed a computationally efficient gradient-descent algorithm for estimating orientation from inertial and magnetic sensor measurements. Their method uses accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer information to estimate orientation while compensating for magnetic distortion. Zhang and Yang [4] also demonstrated the importance of calibrating miniature inertial and magnetic sensor

units before applying attitude-estimation algorithms [4]. These studies provide relevant technical foundations for voice-enabled compasses because an incorrect heading estimate will lead directly to an incorrect spoken direction.

5. CALIBRATION AND MAGNETIC INTERFERENCE

Calibration is essential because magnetometer measurements can be distorted by sensor imperfections and magnetic materials surrounding the device. Hard-iron interference is generally associated with permanent magnetic fields generated by nearby components, whereas soft-iron interference results from materials that distort the surrounding magnetic field. Additional errors may arise from sensor bias, scale-factor differences, non-orthogonal sensing axes, and misalignment between the magnetometer and other sensors. If these effects are not compensated, the calculated heading may deviate from the actual magnetic direction [3], [5], [9].

Several calibration approaches have been developed to address these errors. Renaudin *et al.* [3] presented a complete tri-axis magnetometer calibration method using an adaptive least-squares estimator and an ellipsoid-fitting approach. Han *et al.* [9] proposed gyroscope-aided magnetometer calibration using an extended Kalman filter, allowing calibration parameters to be estimated from the relationship between sensor measurements and device rotation. Wu and Luo [10] examined misalignment between magnetometers and inertial sensors, while Wu *et al.* [11] investigated dynamic calibration and alignment through Kalman filtering.

More recent work within the review period has investigated methods for improving calibration efficiency and heading accuracy. Papafotis and Sotiriadis [12] introduced a joint calibration and alignment method for multiple accelerometers and magnetometers, addressing scale-factor, cross-coupling, offset, and magnetic distortion errors. Researchers [13], [17] proposed an improved magnetometer calibration method based on the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, reporting improved heading accuracy in a multirotor unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) application. Livada *et al.* [14] demonstrated the practical importance of calibration and compensation when integrating a digital magnetic compass into an electro-optical surveillance system. These findings indicate that calibration should be treated as a core design requirement rather than an optional adjustment.

6. VOICE OUTPUT AND ACCESSIBILITY

Voice output converts the compass heading into spoken information that can be accessed without reading a display. A basic implementation can use prerecorded messages for the eight principal and intermediate compass directions. More advanced systems may employ a text-to-speech engine to announce a wider range of headings or provide additional instructions. The audio subsystem should receive the same processed direction value as the visual display to prevent disagreement between the two output channels.

The prototype reported by Arief *et al.* [1] provides direct evidence that an embedded controller can coordinate directional sensing, an LCD, and recorded voice messages. Their design divided spoken output into eight compass directions and used an integrated voice-recording circuit with a stated recording capacity of 90 seconds. This approach is relatively straightforward because the controller selects a stored message based on the classified direction. Nevertheless, prerecorded messages limit flexibility when the system needs to communicate detailed angular values, changing instructions, or multiple languages.

Accessibility requires attention to how users receive and interpret spoken information. The system should use clear pronunciation, an appropriate speaking rate, sufficient volume, and consistent directional terminology. Repeated announcements should be controlled so that continuous changes in heading do not produce confusing or excessive speech. A user-selectable announcement mode, such as manual activation or periodic updates, could make the device more practical for different navigation situations.

7. TECHNICAL CHALLENGES AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The main engineering challenge is maintaining directional accuracy under changing environmental conditions. Nearby speakers, motors, batteries, steel structures, and electrical equipment can introduce magnetic disturbances that affect compass readings [5], [6], [14]. Researchers [5], [6] examined the influence of magnetic disturbances on attitude and heading estimation and discussed strategies for reducing their effects. Consequently, a voice-enabled compass should include calibration procedures and, where appropriate, mechanisms for detecting unreliable magnetic measurements before announcing a direction.

The second challenge concerns the coordination of sensing, processing, and audio playback. A sudden change in heading should not trigger overlapping or contradictory voice messages, especially when

the user is moving. The embedded software should therefore apply suitable filtering, direction thresholds, and announcement intervals. These functions should be designed without introducing excessive latency between a genuine change in direction and the corresponding spoken information.

Table 1 summarizes the principal modules of a voice-enabled digital magnetic compass and the engineering considerations associated with each module. The table highlights the relationship between measurement quality and user-facing performance. It also indicates that audio communication and power management must be considered alongside sensor accuracy.

Table 1. Functional modules and design considerations of a digital magnetic compass with voice output

Module	Main function	Important design consideration
Magnetometer	Measures the Earth's magnetic-field components	Sensitivity, noise, magnetic interference, and calibration
Accelerometer and gyroscope	Support tilt and orientation estimation	Sensor alignment, drift, and fusion accuracy
Microcontroller	Processes measurements and controls outputs	Processing speed, memory, and reliable firmware
Direction classifier	Converts heading into compass labels	Direction boundaries, filtering, and update stability
Visual display	Presents numerical heading or compass direction	Readability, contrast, and update consistency
Voice module	Announces the calculated direction	Speech clarity, message timing, volume, and language
Power supply	Supports sensing, processing, and audio playback	Battery life, voltage stability, and energy consumption

8. APPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

A digital magnetic compass with voice output can support orientation tasks in portable navigation devices, educational instruments, outdoor activities, and assistive technologies. Its usefulness for visually impaired users is particularly relevant because spoken directions provide access to information that would otherwise be presented visually [1]. However, a compass provides heading information rather than complete route guidance or obstacle detection. It should therefore be considered a directional aid that may complement, rather than replace, other mobility and navigation tools.

Future research should prioritize adaptive calibration, magnetic-disturbance detection, and improved sensor fusion. Studies on magnetometer calibration and inertial orientation estimation provide a foundation for these developments [4], [7], [9], [11], [12]. A further opportunity is to combine voice output with vibration or tactile feedback so that users can select the most suitable information channel. The performance of such systems should be evaluated with users in realistic environments, including indoor spaces with magnetic interference and outdoor locations with different orientations and movement patterns.

A complete evaluation framework should measure both technical and human-centered performance. Technical measurements should include heading error, calibration repeatability, response time, power consumption, and stability under magnetic disturbance. User-oriented measurements should include speech intelligibility, ease of operation, directional comprehension, and satisfaction. Such evaluation would provide stronger evidence of practical usefulness than demonstrating that the device can simply announce the eight compass directions.

9. CONCLUSION

A digital magnetic compass with voice output combines electronic direction sensing with spoken communication to make directional information available through visual and auditory interfaces. Existing work demonstrates the feasibility of integrating a microcontroller, display, and recorded voice messages, while magnetometer research provides methods for improving heading estimation and calibration. The principal technical limitations are magnetic interference, sensor misalignment, tilt-related errors, and the need to coordinate directional updates with clear audio announcements. These issues show that the quality of a voice-enabled compass depends on both measurement reliability and interface design. Future systems should integrate calibrated three-axis magnetometers, inertial sensors, robust heading algorithms, and flexible voice interfaces. Designers should also provide understandable announcements, adjustable audio settings, and practical power management. Testing should extend beyond laboratory demonstrations to include realistic magnetic environments and user-centered evaluations. With these considerations, digital magnetic compasses with voice output can become more dependable and accessible instruments for basic orientation and assistive navigation.

REFERENCES

[1] F. F. Arief, M. Muchlas, and T. Sutikno, "Digital compass with voice output based on AT89S52 microcontroller," *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 1, 2008, doi: 10.12928/telkomnika.v6i1.544.

[2] W. Li and J. Wang, "Magnetic sensors for navigation applications: an overview," *Journal of Navigation*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 263–275, 2014, doi: 10.1017/S0373463313000544.

[3] V. Renaudin, M. H. Afzal, and G. Lachapelle, "Complete triaxis magnetometer calibration in the magnetic domain," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2010, 2010, doi: 10.1155/2010/967245.

[4] Z. Q. Zhang and G. Z. Yang, "Calibration of miniature inertial and magnetic sensor units for robust attitude estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 711–718, 2014, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2013.2281562.

[5] B. Fan, Q. Li, C. Wang, and T. Liu, "An adaptive orientation estimation method for magnetic and inertial sensors in the presence of magnetic disturbances," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 17, no. 5, 2017, doi: 10.3390/s17051161.

[6] B. Fan, Q. Li, and T. Liu, "How magnetic disturbance influences the attitude and heading in magnetic and inertial sensor-based orientation estimation," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 18, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.3390/s18010076.

[7] S. O. H. Madgwick, A. J. L. Harrison, and R. Vaidyanathan, "Estimation of IMU and MARG orientation using a gradient descent algorithm," *IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, 2011, doi: 10.1109/ICORR.2011.5975346.

[8] N. El-Sheimy and A. Youssef, "Inertial sensors technologies for navigation applications: state of the art and future trends," *Satellite Navigation*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s43020-019-0001-5.

[9] K. Han, H. Han, Z. Wang, and F. Xu, "Extended Kalman filter-based gyroscope-aided magnetometer calibration for consumer electronic devices," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 63–71, 2017, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2016.2624821.

[10] Y. Wu and S. Luo, "On misalignment between magnetometer and inertial sensors," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 16, no. 16, pp. 6288–6297, 2016, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2016.2582751.

[11] Y. Wu, D. Zou, P. Liu, and W. Yu, "Dynamic magnetometer calibration and alignment to inertial sensors by Kalman filtering," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 716–723, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2017.2670527.

[12] K. Papafotis and P. P. Sotiriadis, "Multiple accelerometers and magnetometers joint calibration and alignment," *IEEE Sensors Letters*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2020, doi: 10.1109/LSENS.2020.2974090.

[13] H. Wu, X. Pei, J. Li, H. Gao, and Y. Bai, "An improved magnetometer calibration and compensation method based on Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm for multi-rotor unmanned aerial vehicle," *Measurement and Control (United Kingdom)*, vol. 53, no. 3–4, pp. 276–286, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0020294019890627.

[14] B. Livada, S. Vujić, D. Radić, T. Unkašević, and Z. Banjac, "Digital magnetic compass integration with stationary, land-based electro-optical multi-sensor surveillance system," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 19, no. 19, 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19194331.

[15] B. Ando, S. Baglio, S. Frisenna, C. O. Lombardo, and V. Marletta, "A methodology for the compensation of compass heading estimation for the effect of magnetic influences," *Conference Record - IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference*, vol. 2016-July, 2016, doi: 10.1109/I2MTC.2016.7520378.

[16] R. Yan, F. Zhang, and H. Chen, "A MEMS-based magnetometer calibration approach in AUV navigation system," *OCEANS 2019 - Marseille, OCEANS Marseille 2019*, vol. 2019-June, 2019, doi: 10.1109/OCEANSE.2019.8867368.

[17] M. Silic and K. Mohseni, "Correcting current-induced magnetometer errors on UAVs: an online model-based approach," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1067–1076, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2019.2945201.