**IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering**
Vol. 8, No. 2, December 2021, pp. 40~44
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v8i2.pp40-44


# Controlling electrical appliances through power lines using modulation techniques: a review of power-line communication systems

**Muhammad Fifqon[1], Anggit Pamungkas[2]**
[1]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

**Article Info**

***Article history:***

Received Dec 2, 2019
Revised May 27, 2020
Accepted Jul 31, 2020

***Keywords:***

Appliance control
Broadband communication
Home automation
Modulation techniques
Narrowband communication
Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing
Power-line communication

**ABSTRACT**

Controlling electrical appliances through existing power lines offers an alternative to dedicated control wiring and wireless networks. Power-line communication (PLC) superimposes information-bearing signals on electrical conductors, allowing commands and status data to share the infrastructure that supplies energy. This review examines modulation techniques and system architectures for PLC-based appliance control, with attention to channel characteristics, signal coupling, noise, standards, and practical applications. The literature indicates that narrowband systems are suitable for low-rate commands, metering, and monitoring, whereas broadband systems can support richer in-home networking and multimedia traffic. Multicarrier modulation, particularly orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM), is widely used because it can adapt transmission across frequency-selective channels, while single-carrier and spread-spectrum approaches remain relevant in particular environments. However, household loads introduce time-varying impedance and impulsive noise that can reduce reliability, and modulation alone cannot resolve these impairments. Effective designs therefore combine robust modulation, channel estimation, error-control coding, filtering, coupling circuits, and appropriate access protocols. The review concludes that PLC can provide practical appliance control when system design is matched to the intended control latency, network topology, electromagnetic compatibility requirements, and safety constraints and installation conditions.

*This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Electrical appliances are increasingly expected to support automated operation, remote monitoring, and coordinated energy management. Conventional control installations often require additional communication cables, while wireless solutions may experience coverage limitations, interference, and dependence on radio infrastructure. Power-line communication (PLC) offers another approach by using existing electrical wiring to carry information signals alongside electrical power. This arrangement can reduce the need for separate communication wiring and provide connectivity to devices distributed throughout a building [1], [2].

The fundamental research problem is how to transmit reliable control information through an electrical network that was originally designed for power distribution rather than data communication. The impedance of connected appliances changes with operating conditions, while switching power supplies, motors, dimmers, and other electronic loads can introduce electrical noise. These characteristics may cause attenuation, multipath propagation, signal distortion, and communication errors [3]–[5]. Consequently, a PLC-based appliance control system must select suitable modulation methods and supporting communication mechanisms to maintain dependable command transmission.

This review examines PLC technology from the perspective of electrical appliance control. It discusses system architecture, modulation methods, channel characteristics, noise mitigation, and applications in residential and building automation. The review also compares the suitability of different modulation approaches and identifies technical considerations for practical implementation. The discussion is intended to connect the communication challenges of existing electrical wiring with the design requirements of reliable appliance control.

## 2. BASIC PRINCIPLES AND SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

PLC transmits information by superimposing a modulated carrier signal onto an electrical power line. A transmitter converts digital control information into a signal suitable for transmission, while a coupling circuit injects that signal into the wiring without interfering with the normal power supply. At the receiving end, another coupling circuit extracts the communication signal, and a receiver demodulates it to recover the transmitted command. A control interface then interprets the command and activates the corresponding appliance or switching device [6], [7].

A typical appliance-control architecture contains a central controller, PLC transmitter, electrical distribution network, PLC receiver, and load-control interface. The central controller may be a microcontroller, embedded computer, or home automation gateway that generates commands according to user input or programmed conditions. The receiving module can activate a relay, solid-state switch, dimmer, or other actuator, depending on the electrical characteristics of the connected appliance. Two-way communication can also return information about appliance status, operating conditions, or command execution.

The architecture can be organized as centralized or distributed control. In centralized systems, a main controller coordinates appliance operation and manages communication among individual nodes. Distributed systems allow local controllers to execute commands and exchange information with other devices, reducing dependence on a single processing point. The appropriate architecture depends on the number of appliances, wiring topology, required response time, and desired level of automation [6], [8].

## 3. MODULATION TECHNIQUES FOR POWER-LINE COMMUNICATION

Modulation determines how digital information is represented in a signal that can travel through electrical wiring. Its selection affects data rate, transmission reliability, bandwidth requirements, receiver complexity, and resistance to interference. PLC applications have employed several modulation families, including amplitude-shift keying, frequency-shift keying, phase-shift keying, spread-spectrum techniques, and multicarrier modulation. Their suitability depends on the characteristics of the electrical network and the amount of information required by the control application [1], [9].

### 3.1. Single-carrier modulation

Single-carrier techniques transmit information using one carrier frequency at a time or through a relatively simple carrier arrangement. Amplitude-shift keying represents information through changes in signal amplitude, whereas frequency-shift keying uses different frequencies to represent digital symbols. Phase-shift keying conveys information through changes in carrier phase and can provide improved spectral efficiency compared with basic binary modulation. These techniques can be useful for simple appliance commands where communication speed and system complexity are more important than high data throughput [1], [2].

Frequency-shift keying has historically been attractive for low-rate control and signaling because its receiver can be relatively straightforward. However, its performance depends on frequency stability, signal detection, and the presence of interference near the selected frequencies. Amplitude-based approaches may be more sensitive to attenuation and amplitude variations caused by connected loads. Therefore, single-carrier techniques remain relevant for simple control applications, but their performance must be assessed under realistic electrical conditions.

### 3.2. Spread-spectrum modulation

Spread-spectrum modulation distributes the transmitted signal over a wider frequency range than would be required by an equivalent narrowband signal. This approach can improve resistance to narrowband interference and make communication more robust in electrically noisy environments. Direct-sequence and frequency-hopping approaches represent two established spread-spectrum methods, although their implementation requirements differ. Their potential usefulness in PLC depends on available spectrum, regulatory limits, receiver complexity, and the interference environment [1], [5].

Spread-spectrum techniques may be appropriate when control commands must remain detectable despite interference from household appliances. However, spreading information over a wider bandwidth can reduce spectral efficiency and may require more sophisticated signal processing. The transmitter and receiver must also maintain suitable synchronization and detection performance. These considerations make spread-spectrum modulation an application-dependent alternative rather than a universal solution for all PLC installations.

### 3.3. Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing

Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) divides a communication channel into multiple closely spaced subcarriers that carry information simultaneously. Its major advantage is the ability to manage frequency-selective channels by adapting transmission parameters across different subcarriers. Subcarriers affected by severe attenuation or interference can be assigned fewer bits or excluded, while more favorable frequencies can carry more information. This flexibility has contributed to the adoption of OFDM-based approaches in broadband PLC and several narrowband PLC standards [10]–[12].

OFDM can support both appliance control and broader home networking functions. A system may use the same electrical wiring to transport control commands, monitoring information, and other digital services, provided that bandwidth and access requirements are appropriately managed. Nevertheless, OFDM requires synchronization, channel estimation, and signal-processing resources that can increase device complexity. Its performance also depends on suitable filtering, coding, and noise mitigation, particularly when household loads generate impulsive interference [13]–[15].

## 4. POWER-LINE CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS AND NOISE

The electrical power network is a challenging communication medium because its topology and electrical loading change over time. Branching cables and impedance mismatches can produce multiple propagation paths, resulting in frequency-selective attenuation and signal fading. The characteristics of the channel may also vary when appliances are switched on or off or when their operating modes change. Measurement-based and analytical studies have therefore developed models to describe the transfer characteristics of indoor power-line networks [3], [4], [16].

Noise is another major limitation in PLC-based appliance control. Background noise may originate from electrical equipment and power conversion circuits, while impulsive noise can occur during switching events and other transient electrical activities. Research has demonstrated that household appliances themselves can be significant sources of PLC noise, making the communication environment dependent on the devices being controlled [14], [15]. Such interference can corrupt transmitted commands, increase retransmissions, and reduce the reliability of the overall control system.

Effective noise management requires more than choosing a modulation method. Filtering can reduce unwanted frequency components, while error-control coding can help recover information affected by transmission errors. Adaptive modulation and channel estimation can improve transmission performance when channel conditions vary. These methods should be considered together with appropriate coupling circuits and electromagnetic compatibility measures [7], [13], [15].

## 5. APPLICATIONS AND COMPARISON OF MODULATION TECHNIQUES

PLC-based appliance control can support switching, dimming, scheduled operation, and coordinated energy management. For example, a central controller can send a command to switch lighting circuits on or off, while a receiver connected to a motorized appliance can interpret commands for starting or stopping operation. More advanced systems can exchange status information to confirm whether a command has been executed. PLC has also been investigated in smart metering, distribution automation, and building-related communication applications, providing a broader technical foundation for connected electrical devices [6], [8], [12].

Table 1 compares the principal modulation approaches according to their characteristics and potential use in appliance control. The comparison emphasizes that no single modulation method is optimal for every electrical installation. Simple single-carrier methods may be sufficient for low-rate switching commands, whereas OFDM is more suitable when the system requires flexible data transmission over frequency-selective channels. The final selection should consider communication reliability, implementation cost, network conditions, and the complexity of the appliances being controlled.

Table 1. Comparison of modulation techniques for PLC-based appliance control

| Modulation technique | Main characteristic | Advantages | Limitations | Potential application |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amplitude-shift keying (ASK) | Information represented by amplitude changes | Simple implementation | Sensitive to amplitude variation and noise | Basic switching commands |
| Frequency-shift keying (FSK) | Information represented by frequency changes | Relatively simple detection and suitable for low-rate signaling | Limited spectral efficiency in basic configurations | Appliance ON/OFF control |
| Phase-shift keying (PSK) | Information represented by phase changes | Improved spectral efficiency compared with basic binary ASK | Requires reliable phase synchronization | Control and status communication |
| Spread-spectrum modulation | Signal energy distributed across a wider frequency range | Improved resistance to selected interference types | Wider bandwidth and greater processing requirements | Noisy electrical environments |
| OFDM | Information transmitted over multiple orthogonal subcarriers | Adaptation to frequency-selective channels and flexible data rates | Synchronization and processing complexity | Integrated home automation and monitoring |

The comparison in Table 1 indicates that modulation selection should follow the requirements of the intended control service. Basic switching applications may not require high data rates, so a simple modulation technique can provide an economical solution when the channel is sufficiently stable. More complex systems that combine appliance control with monitoring and data networking may benefit from multicarrier modulation. In all cases, the communication system must be evaluated using the actual wiring configuration and representative electrical loads.

## 6. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

The practical implementation of PLC-based appliance control requires careful attention to electrical safety and signal isolation. Communication circuits connected to mains wiring must be designed with suitable insulation, protection, and component ratings. Coupling networks should allow the communication signal to enter or leave the power line while preventing hazardous mains voltage from reaching low-voltage electronic interfaces. These requirements make proper hardware design and compliance with applicable electrical standards essential.

Interoperability is another challenge because PLC technologies may use different frequency bands, modulation methods, protocols, and network-management mechanisms. Devices from different manufacturers may therefore fail to communicate even when they are connected to the same electrical wiring. Standardized technologies and clearly defined application interfaces can reduce this problem, although compatibility still depends on implementation details [6], [12]. System designers should specify communication requirements and verify device interoperability before deploying a large number of control nodes.

Reliability should also be assessed under realistic operating conditions. Tests should include different appliance types, changing load conditions, multiple communication nodes, and representative wiring distances. Relevant performance indicators include packet delivery rate, command response time, communication range, bit-error rate, and recovery after interference. Such measurements can establish whether the selected modulation technique is adequate for the intended control task.

## 7. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research should investigate adaptive PLC systems that respond to changes in channel conditions and appliance-generated noise. Intelligent modulation selection, channel estimation, and interference classification could improve communication reliability without requiring excessive transmission power or bandwidth. Further work should also examine the integration of PLC with wireless communication technologies to provide alternative communication paths when the electrical channel becomes unreliable. These approaches may be particularly useful in buildings with complex wiring layouts or demanding automation requirements [17].

Another research priority is the development of secure and interoperable appliance-control platforms. Authentication and message integrity are important because unauthorized commands could cause appliances to operate unexpectedly. Researchers should also evaluate the long-term stability of PLC hardware under different electrical loads and environmental conditions. A combination of communication testing, safety assessment, and application-level evaluation will be necessary to establish dependable solutions [18].

## 8. CONCLUSION

Power-line communication provides a practical means of controlling electrical appliances through existing electrical wiring. Its principal advantage is the ability to carry control information without requiring a separate communication cable or relying entirely on wireless coverage. Different modulation techniques offer different trade-offs between implementation complexity, data rate, spectral efficiency, and resistance to interference. Single-carrier methods can support simple control commands, while OFDM provides greater flexibility for systems that combine control, monitoring, and other communication services. However, reliable PLC operation depends on the electrical channel, connected appliances, coupling circuits, noise conditions, and communication protocol. Modulation should therefore be selected as part of an integrated system design rather than treated as an isolated solution. Appropriate filtering, error-control coding, channel estimation, electrical protection, and interoperability measures are also necessary. Overall, PLC is a viable technology for appliance control when its communication characteristics are matched to the installation environment and the operational requirements of the controlled devices.

## REFERENCES


[1] N. Pavlidou, A. J. Han Vinck, J. Yazdani, and B. Honary, "Power line communications: State of the art and future trends," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 34–40, Apr. 2003, doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2003.1193972.

[2] A. Majumder and J. Caffery, "Power line communication: An overview," *IEEE Potentials*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 4–8, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1109/MP.2004.1343222.

[3] M. Zimmermann and K. Dostert, "A multipath model for the powerline channel," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 553–559, Apr. 2002, doi: 10.1109/26.996069.

[4] M. Zimmermann and K. Dostert, "Analysis and modeling of impulsive noise in broad-band powerline communications," *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 249–258, 2002, doi: 10.1109/15.990732.

[5] M. Götz, M. Rapp, and K. Dostert, "Power line channel characteristics and their effect on communication system design," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 78–86, Apr. 2004, doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2004.1284933.

[6] S. Galli, A. Scaglione, and Z. Wang, "For the grid and through the grid: The role of power line communications in the smart grid," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 998–1027, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2011.2109670.

[7] M. Nassar, J. Lin, Y. Mortazavi, A. Dabak, I. H. Kim, and B. L. Evans, "Local utility power line communications in the 3-500 kHz band: Channel impairments, noise, and standards," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 116–127, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1109/MSP.2012.2187038.

[8] M. Katayama, T. Yamazato, and H. Okada, "A mathematical model of noise in narrowband power line communication systems," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1267–1276, Jul. 2006, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2006.874408.

[9] F. J. C. Corripio, J. A. C. Arrabal, L. D. Del Río, and J. T. E. Muñoz, "Analysis of the cyclic short-term variation of indoor power line channels," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1327–1338, Jul. 2006, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2006.874402.

[10] T. Banwell and S. Galli, "A novel approach to the modeling of the indoor power line channel part I: Circuit analysis and companion model," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 20, no. 2 I, pp. 655–663, 2005, doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2005.844326.

[11] H. Meng *et al.*, "Modeling of transfer characteristics for the broadband power line communication channel," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1057–1064, Jul. 2004, doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2004.824430.

[12] C. Cano, A. Pittolo, D. Malone, L. Lampe, A. M. Tonello, and A. G. Dabak, "State of the Art in Power Line Communications: From the Applications to the Medium," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1935–1952, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2016.2566018.

[13] A. Sendin, I. Peña, and P. Angueira, "Strategies for power line communications smart metering network deployment," *Energies*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 2377–2420, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.3390/en7042377.

[14] M. Antoniali, F. Versolatto, and A. M. Tonello, "An Experimental Characterization of the PLC Noise at the Source," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 1068–1075, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2015.2452939.

[15] O. Karakuş, E. E. Kuruoğlu, and M. A. Altınkaya, "Modelling impulsive noise in indoor powerline communication systems," *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 1655–1661, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11760-020-01708-1.

[16] A. R. Ndjiongue and H. C. Ferreira, "Power line communications (PLC) technology: More than 20 years of intense research," *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, vol. 30, no. 7, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1002/ett.3575.

[17] P. Degauque *et al.*, "Power-line communication: Channel characterization and modeling for transportation systems," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 28–37, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1109/MVT.2015.2411111.

[18] S. Barmada, L. Bellanti, M. Raugi, and M. Tucci, "Analysis of power-line communication channels in ships," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 3161–3170, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2010.2052474.