IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 10, No. 2, December 2023, pp. 46~51
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v10i2.pp46-51

Field-oriented control-based permanent magnet synchronous motor drive systems: a review of control architecture, performance optimization, and implementation challenges

Tole Sutikno[1], Reynaldi Putra Pratama[2], Tri Wahono[3]
[1,2]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[3]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Apr 6, 2021
Revised Jan 24, 2022
Accepted Apr 6, 2022

Keywords:

Current regulation
Field weakening
Field-oriented control
Motor drives
Permanent magnet synchronous motor
Sensorless control
Vector control

ABSTRACT

Permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) are widely adopted in modern electric drives because of their high efficiency, power density, torque capability, and dynamic response. However, achieving precise speed and torque regulation requires a control architecture capable of managing the coupling between electrical variables and the nonlinear characteristics of the machine. Field-oriented control (FOC) addresses this challenge by transforming stator currents into a rotating reference frame, allowing torque-producing and flux-producing components to be regulated independently. This review examines the architecture and development of FOC-based PMSM drive systems, emphasizing machine modeling, coordinate transformations, current regulation, speed control, inverter modulation, and rotor-position estimation. It also discusses practical improvements involving decoupling compensation, field weakening, maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) operation, disturbance rejection, and sensorless techniques. The literature indicates that conventional proportional-integral (PI) control remains attractive because of its relatively simple implementation, while advanced observers and predictive methods can improve performance under demanding operating conditions. Nevertheless, parameter uncertainty, low-speed estimation, computational requirements, and inverter nonidealities remain important challenges. The review identifies research priorities for reliable, efficient, and computationally practical PMSM drive implementation.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) have become important components in electric vehicles, industrial automation, robotics, servo systems, and high-performance variable-speed applications. Their adoption is supported by high efficiency, favorable torque-to-weight ratio, compact construction, and effective dynamic performance. Nevertheless, these advantages do not automatically guarantee accurate motor operation because PMSM behavior depends on electrical parameters, rotor position, load conditions, and inverter characteristics. Consequently, an appropriate control strategy is required to regulate torque and speed while maintaining stable operation across a wide operating range [1]–[3].

Field-oriented control (FOC), also known as vector control, is one of the established approaches for achieving high-performance PMSM operation. Its central principle is to transform stator-current quantities into a synchronously rotating reference frame, where the direct-axis and quadrature-axis current components can be regulated separately. This arrangement provides a control structure that resembles the independent flux and torque regulation available in separately excited DC machines. Early modeling and drive-system investigations established the analytical and implementation foundations for applying vector control to permanent-magnet machines [1], [2].

Despite its advantages, conventional FOC presents several practical limitations that affect the overall performance of a PMSM drive. Current-loop accuracy depends on motor parameters, rotor-position information, sampling frequency, and the quality of inverter voltage generation. Variations in stator resistance, inductance, magnetic saturation, and load torque can also reduce the effectiveness of controllers designed using nominal operating conditions. This review therefore evaluates the principal FOC subsystems, examines improvements reported in the literature, and identifies unresolved implementation challenges [4]–[7].

The objective of this review is to provide an integrated understanding of FOC-based PMSM drive systems rather than treating current control, speed regulation, and position estimation as isolated topics. The discussion follows the complete control chain, beginning with machine modeling and reference-frame transformation and continuing through current regulation, modulation, and rotor-position estimation. Particular attention is given to the relationship between control performance and practical implementation constraints. The resulting synthesis provides a basis for selecting and improving FOC architectures for industrial and transportation applications.

2. FUNDAMENTAL ARCHITECTURE OF FOC-BASED PMSM DRIVES

A conventional FOC-based PMSM drive consists of a PMSM, a voltage-source inverter, current sensors, a rotor-position or position-estimation unit, and a digital controller. The controller receives current and position information and converts the measured electrical quantities into a rotating reference frame. Current regulators then generate voltage commands, which are transformed into stationary-frame references and applied to the inverter through a modulation technique. The outer speed-control loop generates the torque-producing current reference according to the difference between the desired and measured motor speeds [1]–[3] [8].

The architecture normally employs Clarke and Park transformations to simplify the representation of three-phase electrical quantities. The Clarke transformation converts measured phase currents into stationary two-axis components, while the Park transformation aligns the resulting quantities with the rotor magnetic field. In this reference frame, the direct-axis current primarily influences air-gap flux, whereas the quadrature-axis current contributes to electromagnetic torque. This separation simplifies controller design, although the degree of independence depends on motor topology, operating point, and compensation accuracy [1]-[3].

PMSM drives can employ surface-mounted or interior permanent-magnet rotor structures, and their magnetic characteristics influence the current-reference strategy. Surface-mounted PMSMs generally exhibit relatively similar direct- and quadrature-axis inductances, making zero direct-axis current a common operating choice below base speed. Interior PMSMs can provide additional reluctance torque because their direct- and quadrature-axis inductances differ. Therefore, their FOC systems may require maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) strategies to obtain efficient current references [9], [10].

3. CURRENT REGULATION AND SPEED CONTROL

Current regulation is the inner control layer of the FOC architecture and directly determines how accurately the motor follows its torque and flux commands. Proportional-integral (PI) controllers are widely used because they are straightforward to implement and can provide satisfactory steady-state tracking when their parameters are appropriately selected. However, the electrical dynamics of PMSMs contain cross-coupling terms that can affect the response of the direct- and quadrature-axis current loops. Decoupling feedforward compensation is therefore commonly incorporated to reduce the influence of these interactions [4], [8].

Several studies have investigated improved current-control structures to address parameter variation and external disturbances. Adaptive disturbance observers can estimate uncertain effects and compensate for deviations between the commanded and actual current responses. Predictive current-control approaches provide another alternative by calculating voltage commands from a motor model and anticipated current behavior. These approaches can improve dynamic tracking, although their effectiveness depends on model accuracy, computational capacity, and sampling-time constraints [4], [11]–[13].

The outer speed-control loop determines the torque demand required to follow the reference speed under changing load conditions. A conventional PI speed controller is often selected because of its relatively simple tuning and implementation. Nevertheless, load disturbances, inertia variation, and nonlinear friction can produce overshoot or slow recovery when fixed controller gains are used. Sliding-mode control and disturbance-observer-based methods have consequently been investigated to improve robustness and disturbance rejection [5], [14]–[17].

4. INVERTER MODULATION AND POWER CONVERSION

The voltage-source inverter converts the DC-link voltage into three-phase AC voltages required by the PMSM. Its switching sequence determines the voltage vectors applied to the motor and therefore influences current ripple, harmonic distortion, switching losses, and electromagnetic torque quality. Space-vector pulse-width modulation (SVPWM) is commonly integrated with FOC because it provides effective DC-link voltage utilization and a structured method for synthesizing voltage references. Its implementation, however, requires sufficient computational speed and accurate synchronization with the current-control process [2], [18].

Inverter nonidealities can introduce voltage errors that affect current tracking and torque production. Dead time, semiconductor voltage drops, current-sensing offsets, and switching delays may become particularly significant at low speeds or under light-load conditions. Compensation methods have been developed to reduce the resulting waveform distortion and improve the accuracy of voltage commands. Experimental investigations of adaptive dead-time compensation demonstrate the importance of considering the power converter as an integral part of the motor-control system rather than as an ideal voltage source [19].

5. SENSORLESS CONTROL AND ROTOR-POSITION ESTIMATION

Accurate rotor-position information is essential because the Park transformation and inverter switching references must remain synchronized with the rotor magnetic field. Encoders and resolvers can provide reliable position measurements, but they increase system cost, wiring complexity, mechanical installation requirements, and potential failure points. Sensorless control attempts to estimate rotor position and speed from measured electrical quantities, thereby reducing dependence on mechanical sensors. Reviews of PMSM sensorless techniques distinguish approaches according to their operating-speed regions and estimation principles [6], [7].

Back-electromotive-force observers are commonly used in medium- and high-speed operation because the induced voltage provides information related to rotor position and speed. Sliding-mode observers, extended Kalman filters, and model-reference adaptive systems have also been investigated to improve estimation accuracy and disturbance tolerance. However, back-EMF-based methods become less effective at very low speeds because the induced voltage decreases with rotor speed. High-frequency signal injection and initial-position detection methods can address some low-speed limitations, although they introduce additional signal-processing and implementation requirements [20]–[23].

Sensorless operation should therefore be evaluated across the complete operating range rather than at a single nominal speed. Hybrid approaches can combine high-frequency injection at low speeds with back-EMF or observer-based estimation at higher speeds. The transition between estimation methods must be managed carefully to prevent discontinuities in the estimated rotor angle and speed. Recent sensorless research also emphasizes the importance of observer robustness under parameter uncertainty, measurement noise, and load disturbances [5]-[7], [20], [22], [23].

6. EFFICIENCY OPTIMIZATION AND EXTENDED-SPEED OPERATION

FOC can be extended beyond basic current and speed regulation by incorporating current-reference optimization. MTPA control seeks to produce the required electromagnetic torque with minimum stator-current magnitude, thereby reducing copper losses under suitable operating conditions. This strategy is particularly relevant to interior PMSMs because reluctance torque contributes to total torque production. Robust MTPA methods have been proposed to reduce dependence on exact motor parameters, while more detailed flux-linkage models can account for magnetic saturation and cross-saturation effects [9], [10].

At speeds above the base-speed region, the inverter voltage limit can restrict the motor's ability to maintain torque. Field-weakening control addresses this limitation by adjusting the direct-axis current to reduce the effective air-gap flux and permit higher-speed operation. However, excessive field weakening can increase current demand and may introduce stability or thermal concerns. Constrained FOC and reference-governor approaches have therefore been investigated to maintain current and voltage limits while preserving acceptable dynamic performance [24].

Efficiency optimization should consider the motor, inverter, and control algorithm together. A current-reference strategy that minimizes motor copper losses may not necessarily minimize total drive-system losses when switching and inverter conduction losses are included. Similarly, aggressive current-loop bandwidth can improve transient response but may increase switching activity and sensitivity to measurement noise. Future optimization should therefore evaluate efficiency, thermal behavior, dynamic performance, and computational cost using consistent operating conditions [9], [24], [25].

7. ADVANCED CONTROL DEVELOPMENTS AND COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT

Advanced control techniques have been investigated to overcome limitations associated with conventional PI-based FOC. Fractional-order controllers, particle-swarm optimization, sliding-mode control, and predictive current control offer different approaches to controller tuning, disturbance rejection, and transient improvement. These methods should not be interpreted as universally superior replacements for conventional FOC because their benefits depend on motor parameters, processor capability, and application requirements. In particular, predictive methods can impose additional computational demands, while robust nonlinear controllers may require more complicated design and stability analysis [11]-[13], [16], [25]–[27].

Table 1 summarizes the principal FOC subsystems, their functions, representative techniques, and implementation considerations. The comparison indicates that the performance of an FOC drive depends on coordinated design rather than the selection of a single advanced controller. For example, improved current regulation cannot fully compensate for inaccurate rotor-position estimation or significant inverter voltage distortion. Therefore, system-level evaluation should include electrical, mechanical, computational, and thermal performance.

Table 1. Functional assessment of FOC-based PMSM drive subsystems

Subsystem	Main function	Representative techniques	Main implementation concern
Coordinate transformation	Separate flux and torque current components	Clarke and Park transformations	Rotor-angle accuracy
Current regulation	Track direct- and quadrature-axis references	PI, decoupling, predictive control	Parameter variation and cross-coupling
Speed regulation	Generate torque-producing current reference	PI, sliding-mode, disturbance observer	Load disturbance and inertia variation
Inverter modulation	Synthesize commanded motor voltages	SVPWM, PWM	Switching losses and voltage distortion
Position estimation	Determine rotor electrical angle and speed	Encoder, SMO, EKF, back-EMF observer	Low-speed accuracy and robustness
Efficiency optimization	Reduce current and extend operating range	MTPA and field weakening	Voltage/current constraints and saturation
Advanced control	Improve dynamic and robust performance	Fractional-order, MPC, adaptive methods	Computational burden and tuning complexity

The comparative evidence suggests that conventional FOC remains attractive for applications requiring predictable implementation and established control structures. Advanced methods are more appropriate when the application demands improved disturbance rejection, wider operating range, or reduced sensitivity to uncertain parameters. However, comparisons between published studies must be interpreted cautiously because motor ratings, inverter devices, sampling frequencies, test conditions, and performance indicators are not always consistent. A meaningful evaluation should report current tracking error, speed response, torque ripple, efficiency, switching frequency, computational time, and robustness under parameter variation [11]-[13], [16], [17], [26], [27].

8. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

One important research challenge is the sensitivity of conventional FOC to variations in motor parameters and magnetic characteristics. Stator resistance changes with temperature, while inductance and flux linkage may vary with current and magnetic saturation. These variations can weaken decoupling compensation and reduce the accuracy of model-based observers. Future systems should combine online parameter estimation with robust current regulation while maintaining a computational structure suitable for embedded controllers [4], [7], [11], [12].

A second challenge concerns reliable sensorless operation across the complete speed range. Back-EMF methods are less informative at low speed, whereas high-frequency injection may introduce additional losses, acoustic effects, and signal-processing complexity. Hybrid estimation strategies need reliable transition logic and sufficient immunity to inverter nonlinearity and measurement noise. Research should also evaluate sensorless performance during startup, rapid acceleration, regenerative operation, and sudden load changes rather than relying only on steady-state tests [6], [7], [20]-[23].

A third challenge is the lack of consistent benchmarking across different FOC implementations. Published results often use different motor ratings, DC-link voltages, switching frequencies, controller sampling rates, and hardware platforms. These differences make direct comparison of reported efficiency, response time, and torque ripple difficult. Future experimental studies should adopt reproducible test procedures and report both control performance and implementation cost, including processor utilization and thermal constraints [11]-[13], [18], [26]–[28].

9. CONCLUSION

FOC provides a practical and widely established architecture for high-performance PMSM drive systems. By transforming stator currents into a rotor-oriented reference frame, FOC enables coordinated regulation of flux-producing and torque-producing current components. Its overall performance depends on the quality of current regulation, speed control, inverter modulation, and rotor-position information. Consequently, improvements in one subsystem should be evaluated in relation to their effects on the complete drive architecture. The reviewed literature shows that PI-based FOC remains useful because of its relatively simple structure and established implementation practice. Decoupling compensation, adaptive observers, sensorless estimation, MTPA, and field weakening can extend its performance under more demanding operating conditions. Predictive and nonlinear control methods provide further opportunities, although their computational and tuning requirements must be considered. Future PMSM drive research should prioritize robust parameter adaptation, reliable full-speed-range sensorless operation, integrated efficiency optimization, and reproducible hardware benchmarking.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Pillay and R. Krishnan, "Modeling of permanent magnet motor drives," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 537–541, 1988, doi: 10.1109/41.9176.

[2] P. Pillay and R. Krishnan, "Modeling, simulation, and analysis of permanent-magnet motor drives, part I: the permanent-magnet synchronous motor drive," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 265–273, 1989, doi: 10.1109/28.25541.

[3] P. Ramesh, M. Umavathi, C. Bharatiraja, G. Ramanathan, and S. Athikkal, "Development of a PMSM motor field-oriented control algorithm for electrical vehicles," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 65, pp. 176–187, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2022.06.080.

[4] Y. A. R. I. Mohamed, "Design and implementation of a robust current-control scheme for a PMSM vector drive with a simple adaptive disturbance observer," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1981–1988, 2007, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2007.895074.

[5] L. Xiaoquan, L. Heyun, and H. Junlin, "Load disturbance observer-based control method for sensorless PMSM drive," *IET Electric Power Applications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 735–743, 2016, doi: 10.1049/iet-epa.2015.0550.

[6] Q. Wang, S. Wang, and C. Chen, "Review of sensorless control techniques for PMSM drives," *IEEJ Transactions on Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1543–1552, 2019, doi: 10.1002/tee.22974.

[7] G. Wang, M. Valla, and J. Solsona, "Position sensorless permanent magnet synchronous machine drives - a review," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 5830–5842, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2019.2955409.

[8] C. Ogbuka, C. Nwosu, and M. Agu, "A high performance hysteresis current control of a permanent magnet synchronous motor drive," *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2017, doi: 10.3906/elk-1505-160.

[9] A. Dianov, A. Anuchin, and A. Bodrov, "Robust MTPA control for steady-state operation of low-cost IPMSM drives," *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Industrial Electronics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 242–251, 2022, doi: 10.1109/JESTIE.2021.3112289.

[10] J. Zhou, X. Xiao, Z. Wang, H. Lu, J. Chai, and M. Zhang, "Accurate MTPA strategy of PMSM considering cross saturation effect based on full-flux-linkage model," *2022 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, ECCE 2022*, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ECCE50734.2022.9947768.

[11] X. Li, W. Tian, X. Gao, Q. Yang, and R. Kennel, "A generalized observer-based robust predictive current control strategy for PMSM drive system," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 1322–1332, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2021.3062271.

[12] S. Wang, Y. Hu, J. Zhao, and Y. Zhang, "Robustness improvement of predictive current control for permanent-magnet synchronous motors," *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1619–1626, 2022, doi: 10.1109/JESTPE.2021.3088476.

[13] R. Fu, "A simple and robust model predictive current control of PMSM using stator current predictor and target-oriented cost function," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 100024–100032, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3207546.

[14] J. X. Xu, S. K. Panda, Y. J. Pan, T. H. Lee, and B. H. Lam, "A modular control scheme for PMSM speed control with pulsating torque minimization," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 526–536, 2004, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2004.825365.

[15] W. Qian, S. K. Panda, and J. X. Xu, "Torque ripple minimization in PM synchronous motors using iterative learning control," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 272–279, 2004, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2003.820537.

[16] Q. Song and C. Jia, "Robust speed controller design for permanent magnet synchronous motor drives based on sliding mode control," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 88, pp. 867–873, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2016.06.102.

[17] J. Yang, W. H. Chen, S. Li, L. Guo, and Y. Yan, "Disturbance/uncertainty estimation and attenuation techniques in PMSM drives - a survey," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 3273–3285, 2017, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2016.2583412.

[18] H. Hu, H. Wang, K. Liu, J. Wei, and X. Shen, "A simplified space vector pulse width modulation algorithm of a high-speed permanent magnet synchronous machine drive for a flywheel energy storage system," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 11, 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15114065.

[19] N. Urasaki, T. Senjyu, T. Kinjo, T. Funabashi, and H. Sekine, "Adaptive dead-time compensation of voltage source inverter for variable speed drives," *IEEJ Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 126, no. 7, pp. 872–880, 2006, doi: 10.1541/ieejias.126.872.

[20] O. Saadaoui, A. Khlaief, M. Abassi, A. Chaari, and M. Boussak, "A sliding-mode observer for high-performance sensorless control of PMSM with initial rotor position detection," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 393–408, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00207179.2016.1181788.

[21] W. Kanga and H. Li, "Improved sliding mode observer based sensorless control for PMSM," *IEICE Electronics Express*, vol. 14, no. 24, 2017, doi: 10.1587/elex.14.20170934.

[22] M. I. Nordin, J. M. Lazi, M. H. N. Talib, and Z. Ibrahim, "Speed sensorless control for PMSM drives using extended Kalman filter," *Jurnal Teknologi*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 77–83, 2022, doi: 10.11113/jurnalteknologi.v84.17270.
[23] C. Xie, J. Wu, Z. Guo, Y. Wang, and J. Liu, "Sensorless control of vehicle-mounted PMSM based on improved sliding mode observer," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 2030, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2030/1/012004.
[24] T. Jerčić, Š. Ileš, D. Žarko, and J. Matuško, "Constrained field-oriented control of permanent magnet synchronous machine with field-weakening utilizing a reference governor," *Automatika*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 439–449, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00051144.2018.1453441.
[25] W. Abd El Maguid Ahmed, M. M. Adel, M. Taha, and A. A. Saleh, "PSO technique applied to sensorless field-oriented control PMSM drive with discretized RL-fractional integral," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 4029–4040, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.aej.2021.02.049.
[26] T. Li, X. Sun, G. Lei, Y. Guo, Z. Yang, and J. Zhu, "Finite-control-set model predictive control of permanent magnet synchronous motor drive systems - an overview," *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 2087–2105, 2022, doi: 10.1109/JAS.2022.105851.
[27] Z. Yin, G. Yan, F. Gao, and Y. Zhang, "Sensorless model predictive current control of permanent magnet synchronous motor based on improved sliding mode observer," *6th IEEE International Conference on Predictive Control of Electrical Drives and Power Electronics, PRECEDE 2021*, pp. 376–381, 2021, doi: 10.1109/PRECEDE51386.2021.9680984.
[28] Z. Zhang, "Sensorless back EMF based control of synchronous PM and reluctance motor drives - a review," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 10290–10305, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2022.3162963.