IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 11, No. 1, June 2024, pp. 17~22
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v11i1.pp17-22

Intelligent integration of induction motor drive systems for electric vehicle applications: a review of control strategies, energy management, and powertrain integration

Aris Pujiono[1], Watra Arsadiando[2]
[1]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jul 10, 2021
Revised Feb 5, 2022
Accepted Jul 28, 2022

Keywords:

Electric vehicles
Energy management
Field-oriented control
Induction motor
Intelligent drive integration
Power electronics
Sensorless control

ABSTRACT

Induction motor drives remain an important option for electric vehicle propulsion because of their robust construction, relatively low manufacturing cost, absence of permanent magnets, and suitability for demanding operating conditions. However, their integration into electric vehicles requires coordinated optimization of motor control, power conversion, energy management, thermal behavior, and vehicle dynamics. Conventional drive configurations often treat these components as separate subsystems, potentially increasing packaging requirements, energy losses, and control complexity. This review examines intelligent integration approaches for induction motor drive systems in electric vehicle applications, emphasizing field-oriented control, direct torque control, sensorless estimation, predictive control, inverter integration, and battery energy management. It also evaluates regenerative operation, power electronic converter selection, thermal management, fault diagnosis, and condition monitoring as interconnected design considerations. The reviewed literature indicates that integrated control architectures can improve torque response, operating efficiency, and system reliability, although their effectiveness depends on accurate parameter estimation, coordinated subsystem design, and suitable hardware implementation. Major research priorities include adaptive energy-aware control, robust sensorless operation, integrated thermal and electromagnetic design, and experimentally validated vehicle-level optimization.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electric vehicles (EVs) require propulsion systems that can deliver high torque, rapid acceleration, efficient energy conversion, and reliable operation under changing driving conditions. The electric drive system is central to these requirements because it connects the battery, power converter, traction motor, mechanical transmission, and vehicle controller. Induction motors (IMs) remain attractive for traction applications because they do not require permanent magnets and offer robust construction, relatively low material costs, and established industrial manufacturing processes. Nevertheless, their nonlinear characteristics, rotor losses, and parameter variations create challenges for achieving high efficiency and precise torque regulation [1]–[3].

Traditional electric drive architectures frequently separate the motor, inverter, controller, sensors, and cooling components. Such arrangements can increase cable length, packaging volume, electrical losses, electromagnetic interference, and system-level design complexity. Integrated motor drive (IMD) architectures address these limitations by combining the motor, power electronics, and control hardware within a coordinated mechanical and thermal structure. Recent reviews identify compactness, power density, converter integration, thermal management, and electromagnetic compatibility as important considerations in developing these systems [4], [5].

Intelligent integration extends beyond physical packaging because the motor drive must also communicate effectively with the battery management system and vehicle supervisory controller. For example, the accelerator command determines the required traction torque, while the battery condition and inverter constraints determine whether that torque can be delivered safely and efficiently. Sensorless observers, adaptive controllers, and predictive algorithms can support this coordination by estimating operating conditions and adjusting control references. Research on smart induction motor drives for EVs has demonstrated the relevance of combining indirect rotor field-oriented control, torque regulation, and sliding-mode observation within a unified drive architecture [1].

This review examines the technical foundations and development of intelligent induction motor drive integration for EV applications. It focuses on the relationship between motor control, power conversion, energy management, thermal design, and condition monitoring rather than treating these functions independently. The review also distinguishes between experimentally demonstrated results and broader integration opportunities that still require vehicle-level validation. Its principal contribution is a system-oriented synthesis of research challenges and design priorities for reliable and energy-efficient induction motor propulsion.

2. INTEGRATED INDUCTION MOTOR DRIVE ARCHITECTURE

An integrated induction motor drive combines the traction machine, inverter, control unit, sensing interface, and associated thermal structure into a coordinated propulsion subsystem. The main purpose is to reduce unnecessary interconnections while improving packaging, power density, and overall system performance. Integration can be implemented through converter mounting on the motor housing, incorporation of power modules into the motor structure, or modular arrangements that distribute converter and winding functions. These alternatives involve different compromises in accessibility, heat transfer, electromagnetic compatibility, and maintenance [4], [5].

The complete EV propulsion architecture must also coordinate the motor drive with the battery, DC-link, transmission, and vehicle supervisory controller. The battery supplies electrical energy, while the inverter regulates the voltage and current delivered to the induction motor. The motor controller translates driver commands into torque and speed references while respecting electrical and mechanical limits. Consequently, integration should be evaluated at the powertrain level rather than solely by measuring the physical proximity of the motor and inverter [2], [3], [6].

A modular integrated architecture can offer additional flexibility in high-power applications. Independent converter modules can support distributed winding arrangements, improve fault isolation, and provide opportunities for interleaved switching. However, modularization also introduces additional requirements for DC-link voltage balancing, communication, synchronization, and thermal coordination. Therefore, the most suitable architecture depends on vehicle power rating, packaging constraints, reliability targets, and manufacturing requirements [4], [5], [7].

3. INTELLIGENT MOTOR CONTROL STRATEGIES

Field-oriented control (FOC) is widely used in induction motor drives because it separates flux-producing and torque-producing current components in a rotating reference frame. This approach enables accurate torque regulation and provides a suitable foundation for traction applications requiring smooth acceleration and wide-speed operation. Indirect rotor-field-oriented control estimates the rotor-flux angle from motor parameters and measured electrical quantities, allowing the controller to regulate torque without requiring direct rotor-flux measurement. EV-oriented studies have demonstrated the application of vector control to induction motor traction systems under changing operating conditions [1], [2].

Direct torque control (DTC) provides an alternative approach by regulating electromagnetic torque and flux through inverter switching-state selection. Its fast torque response can be useful in traction applications where rapid changes in driver demand occur. However, conventional DTC may produce relatively high torque and flux ripple, variable switching frequency, and increased acoustic noise under certain operating conditions. Improved DTC approaches, including space-vector modulation and intelligent optimization, have been investigated to reduce these limitations [8].

Model predictive control (MPC) offers a further option for coordinating torque, flux, current, and switching objectives. Its predictive structure can incorporate operating constraints and support control decisions over changing speed and load conditions. A comparative study of MPC and FOC for induction motor EV drives considered vehicle dynamics, battery behavior, road forces, and operation below and above base speed. Such comparisons indicate that controller selection should consider both motor-level dynamic performance and vehicle-level energy requirements [3], [9].

Intelligent optimization can improve conventional control strategies by adjusting controller parameters or selecting more suitable operating references. A hybrid optimization approach has been investigated for regulating three-phase induction motors in EV-related applications, while maximum-torque-per-watt control provides a loss-oriented extension of conventional field-oriented control. These approaches indicate that intelligent control should not simply replace established drive algorithms, but should enhance their ability to adapt to changing torque, speed, and efficiency requirements. Their practical value must be assessed through experimental validation and computational-cost analysis [10], [11].

4. SENSORLESS OPERATION AND FAULT-TOLERANT INTEGRATION

Rotor-speed and position information are important for vector-controlled induction motor drives because errors in flux orientation can reduce torque accuracy and dynamic stability. Mechanical encoders provide direct measurements, but they introduce additional cost, wiring, mechanical installation requirements, and possible failure points. Sensorless techniques estimate speed, rotor flux, or related electrical states from measured stator voltages and currents. Sliding-mode observers have been investigated for EV induction motor drives to support encoderless operation under low-speed and changing-load conditions [1].

Sensorless control can improve system compactness, but its performance depends on the accuracy of the motor model and the quality of electrical measurements. Stator resistance and rotor time constant variations can introduce estimation errors, particularly during temperature changes and low-speed operation. Adaptive observers and parameter-estimation techniques can reduce these effects, although they increase computational and validation requirements. A practical integrated drive should therefore include monitoring functions that identify unreliable estimates and initiate suitable protective or fallback control actions [1], [2], [12].

Fault diagnosis is another important component of intelligent integration because traction-drive failures can affect vehicle safety and availability. Relevant faults include winding insulation degradation, bearing damage, inverter-switch failure, current-sensor malfunction, and abnormal thermal conditions. Artificial intelligence and signal-processing methods can support fault classification using electrical, vibration, and temperature measurements. However, diagnostic performance must be evaluated under realistic vehicle disturbances because road vibration, changing loads, and inverter switching can complicate fault identification [12]–[14].

5. POWER ELECTRONICS AND CONVERTER INTEGRATION

The traction inverter determines how effectively battery energy is converted into controlled motor power. Its topology, semiconductor technology, switching strategy, and DC-link design influence efficiency, current ripple, power density, and thermal requirements. Silicon carbide devices have attracted attention because their switching and conduction characteristics can support more efficient and compact power conversion under suitable design conditions. Nevertheless, increased switching speed can introduce higher voltage transients and electromagnetic interference, requiring careful coordination with motor insulation and filtering arrangements [4], [5], [15].

Integrated converter topologies can also support improved utilization of power electronic components. Open-end winding induction motor configurations, for example, can be supplied by different inverter arrangements that influence battery connection requirements, switching losses, and charging possibilities. Multiphase traction inverters provide another approach, offering potential fault tolerance, reduced per-phase current, and flexible power conversion. Their benefits depend on additional control complexity, converter cost, and the electrical design of the traction machine [7], [16].

Bidirectional power conversion is important when the propulsion system supports regenerative braking or integrated charging functions. During regenerative braking, the traction motor operates as a generator and transfers recovered energy through the inverter and DC-link toward the battery. Integrated on-board charger and traction systems investigate the reuse of propulsion components for charging and vehicle-to-grid operation. Such integration can reduce duplicated hardware, but requires coordinated switching control, protection, isolation, and operating-mode management [7].

6. BATTERY ENERGY MANAGEMENT AND VEHICLE-LEVEL COORDINATION

Battery energy management should be coordinated with induction motor torque control because traction demand directly affects battery current, voltage, and operating temperature. A drive controller that follows torque commands without considering battery condition may increase current stress and accelerate battery degradation. Research on EV traction systems incorporating indirect field-oriented induction motor drives has investigated battery energy management strategies that consider state-of-charge and state-of-health behavior. This demonstrates the importance of linking motor control decisions with battery operating limits [6].

Vehicle-level coordination can improve energy use by adapting motor flux, torque, and speed references to driving conditions. During acceleration, the controller must provide sufficient torque while respecting battery and inverter current limits. During cruising, the system can reduce unnecessary motor losses by adjusting flux and operating references according to the demanded load. During braking, regenerative energy recovery should be coordinated with battery charge acceptance and the vehicle's friction-braking system [3], [6], [11], [17]

The effectiveness of energy management depends on the quality of vehicle information available to the supervisory controller. Relevant inputs include accelerator position, vehicle speed, estimated road load, battery state, motor temperature, and inverter operating limits. Predictive or optimization-based strategies can use these variables to coordinate propulsion and energy consumption. However, the resulting improvements should be assessed over representative driving cycles rather than inferred from isolated motor operating points [3], [6], [17].

7. THERMAL MANAGEMENT, RELIABILITY, AND SYSTEM-LEVEL INTEGRATION

Thermal management is a critical challenge because integrated motor drives place heat-generating components within a compact physical structure. The induction motor produces copper, iron, and rotor losses, while the inverter generates semiconductor conduction and switching losses. When these heat sources share a housing or cooling circuit, their thermal interactions can affect insulation life, semiconductor reliability, and allowable continuous power. Research on integrated motor drive thermal management emphasizes the need for coordinated heat removal and component-level thermal design [18], [19].

Electromagnetic compatibility and mechanical durability must also be considered during integration. Fast inverter switching can produce common-mode voltage, bearing currents, and conducted or radiated interference. Mechanical vibration and temperature cycling can affect power module connections, sensor mounting, and insulation systems. Therefore, compact packaging should be accompanied by appropriate shielding, filtering, insulation coordination, vibration-resistant construction, and thermal monitoring [4], [5], [15].

Table 1 summarizes the major subsystems and their roles in an intelligent induction motor drive for EVs. It highlights that the benefits of integration depend on coordination among control, power conversion, energy storage, and thermal protection. The table also identifies the main design risks that must be considered before an integrated architecture can be translated into a reliable vehicle product. These relationships show why system-level testing is necessary to complement individual component evaluations.

Table 1. Functional integration of induction motor drive subsystems for electric vehicles

Subsystem	Main function	Intelligent integration approach	Main challenge
Induction motor	Generate traction torque	Adaptive FOC and DTC	Parameter variation and losses
Inverter	Control motor voltage and current	Predictive switching and optimized modulation	Switching loss and EMI
Rotor observer	Estimate speed and flux position	Sliding-mode and adaptive observers	Low-speed estimation accuracy
Battery management	Protect and manage stored energy	Coordinated torque and energy control	SOC/SOH constraints
Vehicle controller	Coordinate propulsion demand	Driving-cycle-aware optimization	Real-time computation
Regenerative braking	Recover kinetic energy	Bidirectional power conversion	Battery charge acceptance
Thermal system	Maintain safe component temperatures	Integrated thermal monitoring	Coupled heat sources
Fault monitoring	Detect abnormal operating conditions	Signal processing and machine learning	False alarms and diagnostic reliability

8. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The first major research challenge is achieving robust coordination between motor control and battery energy management. Existing studies demonstrate the feasibility of combining induction motor control with battery-state considerations, but further work is needed to validate these methods under diverse real-world driving cycles. Adaptive torque and flux references should account for battery degradation, thermal limits, and changing road conditions. Such coordination could improve vehicle efficiency without compromising traction response or battery durability [3], [6], [11].

The second challenge concerns the integration of power electronics and motor thermal management. Compact inverter placement can reduce packaging requirements, but it also creates thermal coupling and electromagnetic compatibility problems. Future designs should combine multiphysics simulation, wide-bandgap semiconductor evaluation, cooling optimization, and insulation assessment during the early design stage. Experimental testing under vibration, temperature cycling, and high-load operation is necessary to establish long-term reliability [4], [5], [15], [18], [19].

The third challenge is the development of intelligent monitoring and fault-tolerant control suitable for automotive operation. Machine-learning methods can improve fault recognition, but their reliability depends on representative training data and the ability to distinguish faults from normal driving disturbances. Future systems should combine model-based observers with data-driven diagnosis and independent protection mechanisms. Hardware-in-the-loop and vehicle-level testing should be used to evaluate fault detection, safe operation, and recovery behavior [12]–[14].

9. CONCLUSION

Intelligent integration of induction motor drive systems offers opportunities to improve the efficiency, compactness, controllability, and reliability of electric vehicle propulsion. The reviewed literature shows that field-oriented control, direct torque control, predictive methods, and sensorless observers provide complementary approaches to traction regulation. Their benefits become more significant when coordinated with inverter design, battery energy management, regenerative braking, and thermal monitoring. Consequently, the effectiveness of an integrated drive should be judged through complete powertrain performance rather than isolated motor-control results. Several challenges remain before these architectures can achieve consistently reliable vehicle-level implementation. Parameter uncertainty, low-speed sensorless operation, thermal coupling, electromagnetic interference, battery constraints, and computational complexity require coordinated engineering solutions. Intelligent optimization and predictive control offer promising tools, but their practical value must be demonstrated through repeatable hardware and vehicle-cycle testing. Future research should therefore prioritize adaptive energy-aware control, robust fault-tolerant operation, integrated thermal-electromagnetic design, and standardized system-level performance evaluation.

REFERENCES

[1] M. K. Metwaly *et al.*, "Smart integration of drive system for induction motor applications in electric vehicles," *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS)*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 20–28, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijpeds.v12.i1.pp20-28.

[2] N. Ibrahim, M. Abdelaziz, M. Ghoneima, and S. Hammad, "Implementation of vector control on electric vehicle traction system," *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, vol. 44, no. 1, p. 22, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s42269-019-0258-8.

[3] A. A. Ahmed, M. M. Akl, and E. E. Essam, "A comparative dynamic analysis between model predictive torque control and field-oriented torque control of IM drives for electric vehicles," *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 11, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1002/2050-7038.13089.

[4] W. Cai, X. Wu, M. Zhou, Y. Liang, and Y. Wang, "Review and development of electric motor systems and electric powertrains for new energy vehicles," *Automotive Innovation*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 3–22, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42154-021-00139-z.

[5] B. Zhang, Z. Song, S. Liu, R. Huang, and C. Liu, "Overview of integrated electric motor drives: opportunities and challenges," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 21, p. 8299, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15218299.

[6] A. S. Abdelaal, S. Mukhopadhyay, and H. Rehman, "Battery energy management techniques for an electric vehicle traction system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 84015–84037, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3195940.

[7] S. Jaman, S. Chakraborty, D. D. Tran, T. Geury, M. El Baghdadi, and O. Hegazy, "Review on integrated on-board charger-traction systems: V2G topologies, control approaches, standards and power density state-of-the-art for electric vehicle," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 15, p. 5376, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15155376.

[8] N. El Ouanjli *et al.*, "Modern improvement techniques of direct torque control for induction motor drives-A review," *Protection and Control of Modern Power Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s41601-019-0125-5.

[9] J. Rodriguez *et al.*, "Latest Advances of Model Predictive Control in Electrical Drives - Part II: applications and benchmarking with classical control methods," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 5047–5061, May 2022, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2021.3121589.

[10] A. Mehbodniya *et al.*, "Hybrid optimization approach for energy control in electric vehicle controller for regulation of three-phase induction motors," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–13, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/6096983.

[11] C. Attaianese, M. Di Monaco, and G. Tomasso, "Maximum torque per watt (MTPW) field-oriented control of induction motor," *Electrical Engineering*, vol. 103, no. 6, pp. 2611–2623, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00202-021-01238-0.

[12] R. R. Kumar, M. Andriollo, G. Cirrincione, M. Cirrincione, and A. Tortella, "A comprehensive review of conventional and intelligence-based approaches for the fault diagnosis and condition monitoring of induction motors," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 23, p. 8938, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15238938.

[13] W. Lang, Y. Hu, C. Gong, X. Zhang, H. Xu, and J. Deng, "Artificial intelligence-based technique for fault detection and diagnosis of EV motors: a review," *IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 384–406, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TTE.2021.3110318.

[14] S. K. Gundewar and P. V. Kane, "Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of induction motor," *Journal of Vibration Engineering and Technologies*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 643–674, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42417-020-00253-y.

[15] E. Robles, A. Matallana, I. Aretxabaleta, J. Andreu, M. Fernández, and J. L. Martín, "The role of power device technology in the electric vehicle powertrain," *International Journal of Energy Research*, vol. 46, no. 15, pp. 22222–22265, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1002/er.8581.

[16] M. A. Husain, R. Rajput, M. K. Gupta, M. Tabrez, M. W. Ahmad, and F. I. Bakhsh, "Design and implementation of different drive topologies for control of induction motor for electric vehicle application," *Distributed Generation and Alternative Energy Journal*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 999–1026, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.13052/dgaej2156-3306.3746.
[17] R. T. Yadlapalli, A. Kotapati, R. Kandipati, and C. S. Koritala, "A review on energy efficient technologies for electric vehicle applications," *Journal of Energy Storage*, vol. 50, p. 104212, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.est.2022.104212.
[18] S. Madhavan, R. D. P B, E. Gundabattini, and A. Mystkowski, "Thermal analysis and heat management strategies for an induction motor, a review," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 21, p. 8127, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15218127.
[19] R. Wrobel, "A technology overview of thermal management of integrated motor drives – electrical machines," *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress*, vol. 29, p. 101222, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.tsep.2022.101222.