IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 1, No. 1, June 2014, pp. 1~4
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v1i1.pp1-4

Image segmentation techniques: a critical review of classical methods and emerging approaches

Eko Prasetyo, Eka Rahmat B.
Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Dec 20, 2013
Revised Mar 29, 2014
Accepted Apr 15, 2014

Keywords:

Clustering
Edge detection
Graph-based segmentation
Image processing
Image segmentation
Region-based segmentation
Thresholding

ABSTRACT

Image segmentation is a fundamental task in digital image processing and computer vision that aims to partition an image into meaningful regions or objects. Its effectiveness significantly influences subsequent processes, including object recognition, feature extraction, and image analysis. Over the years, various segmentation techniques have been developed to address different image characteristics and application requirements. This paper presents a critical review of five major approaches: thresholding, region-based, edge-based, clustering-based, and graph-based segmentation. The review examines their underlying principles, representative algorithms, advantages, limitations, and computational considerations. Furthermore, emerging approaches developed before 2014, including watershed transformation, active contours, and graph-cut optimization, are discussed in relation to their contributions to segmentation accuracy and robustness. The analysis indicates that no single technique is universally optimal. Instead, segmentation performance depends on image characteristics, noise conditions, object complexity, and computational requirements. Hybrid approaches offer promising opportunities by combining complementary segmentation mechanisms.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Image segmentation is an essential process in image processing and computer vision that divides an image into distinct regions based on similarities or differences in characteristics such as intensity, color, texture, and spatial information. The primary objective is to simplify image representation while preserving meaningful structures for subsequent analysis.

Image segmentation has been widely applied in medical imaging, remote sensing, industrial inspection, autonomous systems, and object recognition. However, variations in illumination, noise, object boundaries, texture, and image complexity make accurate segmentation challenging.

Early studies established several fundamental segmentation categories, including thresholding, edge detection, and region extraction [1], [2]. Subsequent developments introduced clustering, mathematical morphology, active contours, and graph-based optimization to address the limitations of conventional methods.

This paper critically reviews five major segmentation approaches: thresholding, region-based, edge-based, clustering-based, and graph-based segmentation. Particular attention is given to their fundamental mechanisms, strengths, limitations, and potential integration. The objective is to provide a comparative understanding of classical techniques and emerging approaches developed up to 2013.

2. IMAGE SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES

2.1. Thresholding-based segmentation

Thresholding is among the simplest and most widely used image segmentation techniques. It separates image pixels into different classes according to their intensity values. Pixels are assigned to foreground or background categories based on whether their intensity exceeds a predefined threshold. Thresholding methods can be classified into global, local, and adaptive approaches. Global thresholding

applies a single threshold to the entire image, whereas local and adaptive methods determine thresholds based on neighborhood characteristics.

Otsu [3] introduced an automatic threshold selection method that maximizes the separation between foreground and background intensity distributions. This approach became an important reference for histogram-based segmentation. Entropy-based thresholding also provides an alternative by selecting thresholds based on information-theoretic criteria.

Thresholding is computationally efficient, straightforward to implement, and particularly effective when foreground and background intensities are clearly separated. Nevertheless, its performance decreases under nonuniform illumination, overlapping intensity distributions, and significant noise. Global thresholding is especially limited when objects exhibit varying intensity characteristics. Adaptive thresholding can improve segmentation under spatially varying illumination, although selecting appropriate neighborhood sizes and parameters remains challenging.

2.2. Region-based segmentation

Region-based segmentation identifies image areas according to predefined homogeneity criteria, such as intensity, color, or texture similarity. Unlike thresholding, which primarily considers individual pixel values, region-based approaches incorporate spatial relationships among neighboring pixels.

Two common approaches are region growing and split-and-merge segmentation. Region growing begins with one or more seed points and progressively adds neighboring pixels that satisfy predefined similarity criteria. Adams and Bischof [4] demonstrated the effectiveness of seeded region growing for image segmentation. Split-and-merge methods initially divide an image into smaller regions and subsequently merge neighboring regions that satisfy homogeneity criteria. These methods provide a hierarchical representation of image structures.

Region-based methods can produce spatially connected and relatively homogeneous segments. They are useful when objects have consistent internal characteristics. However, region growing is sensitive to seed selection and similarity thresholds. Poor parameter selection may cause over-segmentation or region leakage across object boundaries. Split-and-merge approaches can also generate artificial boundaries and may require considerable computational resources for complex images.

2.3. Edge-based segmentation

Edge-based segmentation identifies object boundaries by detecting significant changes in image intensity. It relies on the assumption that boundaries between different objects correspond to discontinuities in intensity or color. Common edge detection operators include Sobel, Prewitt, Roberts, and Canny. Among these, the Canny edge detector [5] is particularly influential because it incorporates noise reduction, gradient estimation, edge thinning, and edge tracking. Edge detection generally involves three principal stages:

1. Image smoothing to reduce noise.
2. Gradient computation to identify intensity discontinuities.
3. Edge localization and refinement to produce boundary information.

Edge-based methods are effective in identifying object boundaries, particularly when image contrast is sufficient. They can provide accurate localization of sharp edges and are relatively straightforward to integrate into other segmentation techniques. However, detected edges may be discontinuous, weak, or fragmented because of noise, texture, and illumination variations. Consequently, edge detection alone does not always produce closed and meaningful regions. Additional boundary linking or region-based processing is frequently required.

2.4. Clustering-based segmentation

Clustering-based segmentation groups pixels into clusters according to similarities in their feature representations. These features may include intensity, color, texture, and spatial coordinates. K-means is a widely used clustering algorithm that partitions image pixels into a predefined number of clusters by minimizing differences within each cluster. Another important method is fuzzy c-means (FCM), which allows each pixel to have different membership degrees across multiple clusters. This characteristic is particularly useful for images containing uncertain or overlapping structures. Mean shift is another clustering approach that identifies dense regions in feature space without requiring the number of clusters to be specified in advance [6].

Clustering methods can handle complex intensity distributions and multi-feature image representations more effectively than simple thresholding. FCM is particularly useful when pixel membership is uncertain, while mean shift can identify clusters with different shapes and densities. Nevertheless, K-means requires the number of clusters to be specified beforehand and is sensitive to initialization. FCM introduces additional computational complexity, while mean shift can be expensive for

high-dimensional feature spaces. Furthermore, conventional clustering does not inherently guarantee spatial connectivity, potentially producing fragmented segmentation results.

2.5. Graph-based segmentation

Graph-based segmentation represents an image as a graph in which pixels or groups of pixels correspond to nodes, while edges represent relationships between neighboring elements. Edge weights typically describe similarity based on intensity, color, texture, or spatial distance. The segmentation problem is formulated as graph partitioning, where the objective is to divide the graph into meaningful subgraphs while minimizing differences within regions and maximizing differences between regions.

Shi and Malik [7] introduced normalized cuts, which formulate image segmentation as a global graph-partitioning problem. This method considers both the similarity within individual regions and the connections between different regions. Graph cuts provide another important framework. Boykov and Jolly [8] demonstrated interactive segmentation through graph-based optimization. Felzenszwalb and Huttenlocher [9] subsequently introduced an efficient graph-based segmentation method that evaluates differences between components and supports adaptive region merging.

Graph-based methods offer a flexible framework for incorporating both local and global image information. They can preserve spatial relationships and produce meaningful segmentation boundaries. Their ability to integrate multiple image features makes them attractive for complex segmentation tasks. However, graph construction and optimization can introduce substantial computational and memory requirements, particularly for high-resolution images. Results may also depend strongly on edge-weight definitions, similarity functions, and optimization parameters.

3. EMERGING APPROACHES AND HYBRID SEGMENTATION

Developments in image segmentation before 2014 increasingly focused on overcoming the limitations of individual classical techniques. Several approaches deserve particular attention:

a. Watershed transformation: Based on mathematical morphology, watershed segmentation interprets an image as a topographic surface and identifies boundaries through flooding processes. Vincent and Soille [10] developed an influential watershed algorithm. Although effective in separating adjacent objects, conventional watershed methods frequently suffer from over-segmentation.
b. Active contours: Active contour models, also known as snakes, represent object boundaries as deformable curves that evolve toward image features. These approaches incorporate internal smoothness constraints and external image forces. They can provide flexible boundary detection but may be sensitive to initialization and weak image gradients [11].
c. Hybrid segmentation: Hybrid approaches combine complementary techniques to improve segmentation performance. Examples include combining thresholding with clustering, edge detection with region growing, and watershed transformation with marker-based techniques. Such combinations can improve boundary localization, reduce over-segmentation, and increase robustness [12].

These developments demonstrate a transition from isolated pixel-based decisions toward approaches that incorporate spatial relationships, global optimization, and prior structural information.

4. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The five segmentation categories exhibit different characteristics in terms of computational efficiency, spatial information, segmentation quality, and robustness. A comparative summary of their main advantages and limitations is presented in Table 1. The comparison indicates that segmentation effectiveness depends heavily on the characteristics of the input image and the intended application. Thresholding is appropriate for images with distinct foreground and background intensity distributions. Region-based methods are more suitable when spatially homogeneous regions are expected. Edge-based methods are useful when object boundaries are sufficiently distinguishable. Clustering-based methods provide flexibility for images with complex feature distributions, while graph-based methods offer a more comprehensive representation of spatial relationships.

Table 1. Comparative analysis of image segmentation techniques

Method	Main advantage	Main limitation
Thresholding	Simple and computationally efficient	Sensitive to illumination and intensity overlap
Region-based	Produces spatially connected regions	Sensitive to seed selection and homogeneity criteria
Edge-based	Effective boundary localization	Discontinuous or weak edges
Clustering-based	Supports multi-feature grouping	Does not inherently ensure spatial connectivity
Graph-based	Integrates local and global relationships	Computational and memory complexity

An important observation is that segmentation accuracy cannot be evaluated solely through visual appearance or computational efficiency. Appropriate evaluation should consider boundary accuracy, region consistency, robustness to noise, computational complexity, and application-specific requirements. Furthermore, the absence of a universally optimal segmentation method suggests that future improvements should focus not only on developing new algorithms but also on selecting and integrating existing approaches according to image characteristics.

5. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite considerable progress, several challenges remained unresolved by 2013. First, robustness to noise and illumination variations remains a major issue, particularly for images acquired under uncontrolled conditions. Second, accurate segmentation of complex boundaries requires methods capable of handling overlapping objects, weak edges, and irregular structures. Third, computational efficiency and scalability remain important considerations, particularly for high-resolution images and real-time applications. Fourth, parameter selection and generalization continue to influence segmentation reliability across different image datasets and application domains. Finally, integration of complementary techniques represents an important research direction. Combining region homogeneity, edge information, clustering characteristics, and graph-based optimization may provide more reliable segmentation than relying on a single mechanism. These challenges establish opportunities for developing adaptive, robust, and computationally efficient segmentation frameworks.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper reviewed five major image segmentation approaches: thresholding, region-based, edge-based, clustering-based, and graph-based segmentation. Each method offers distinct advantages and limitations depending on image characteristics and application requirements. Thresholding provides computational simplicity, region-based methods emphasize spatial homogeneity, edge-based methods identify object boundaries, clustering-based methods group pixels according to feature similarity, and graph-based methods incorporate relationships among image elements through optimization. The review highlights that hybrid segmentation approaches offer considerable potential because they combine complementary information and overcome some limitations of individual techniques. Nevertheless, robustness, computational efficiency, parameter sensitivity, and segmentation accuracy remain important research challenges.

Ultimately, the selection of an appropriate segmentation technique should be guided by the characteristics of the image, the required segmentation quality, and the computational constraints of the target application.

REFERENCES

[1] K. S. Fu and J. K. Mui, "A survey on image segmentation," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 3–16, Jan. 1981, doi: 10.1016/0031-3203(81)90028-5.

[2] R. M. Haralick and L. G. Shapiro, "Image segmentation techniques," *Computer Vision, Graphics, & Image Processing*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 100–132, Jan. 1985, doi: 10.1016/S0734-189X(85)90153-7.

[3] N. Otsu, "A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms," *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62–66, Jan. 1979, doi: 10.1109/tsmc.1979.4310076.

[4] R. Adams and L. Bischof, "Seeded region growing," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 641–647, Jun. 1994, doi: 10.1109/34.295913.

[5] J. Canny, "A computational approach to edge detection," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. PAMI-8, no. 6, pp. 679–698, Nov. 1986, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.1986.4767851.

[6] D. Comaniciu and P. Meer, "Mean shift: a robust approach toward feature space analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 603–619, May 2002, doi: 10.1109/34.1000236.

[7] J. Shi and J. Malik, "Normalized cuts and image segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 888–905, 2000, doi: 10.1109/34.868688.

[8] Y. Y. Boykov and M. P. Jolly, "Interactive graph cuts for optimal boundary & region segmentation of objects in N-D images," in *Proceedings Eighth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. ICCV 2001*, IEEE Comput. Soc, 2001, pp. 105–112. doi: 10.1109/iccv.2001.937505.

[9] P. F. Felzenszwalb and D. P. Huttenlocher, "Efficient graph-based image segmentation," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 167–181, Sep. 2004, doi: 10.1023/B:VISI.0000022288.19776.77.

[10] L. Vincent and P. Soille, "Watersheds in Digital spaces: an efficient algorithm based on immersion simulations," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 583–598, Jun. 1991, doi: 10.1109/34.87344.

[11] N. R. Pal and S. K. Pal, "A review on image segmentation techniques," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1277–1294, Sep. 1993, doi: 10.1016/0031-3203(93)90135-J.

[12] W. Khan, "Image segmentation techniques: a survey," *Journal of Image and Graphics*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 166–170, 2013, doi: 10.12720/joig.1.4.166-170.