IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 2, No. 1, June 2015, pp. 11~14
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v2i1.pp11-14

Remote health monitoring systems: a review of sensor technologies, communication architectures, and public health implications

Lina Handayani[1], Tole Sutikno[2]
[1]Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Feb 19, 2014
Revised Mar 12, 2015
Accepted Apr 28, 2015

Keywords:

mHealth
Public health
Remote health monitoring
Telemedicine
Wearable sensors
Wireless body area networks

ABSTRACT

Remote health monitoring systems have become an important component of modern healthcare by enabling physiological data collection and patient observation beyond conventional clinical environments. These systems integrate wearable and implantable sensors, wireless body area networks, mobile communication devices, and healthcare information platforms to support continuous or periodic monitoring. This review examines the principal sensor technologies, communication architectures, healthcare applications, and public health implications of remote health monitoring systems, based on relevant literature published up to 2013. Particular attention is given to physiological sensors for cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic monitoring, as well as communication technologies that connect body sensors, personal gateways, and remote healthcare services. The review also discusses applications in chronic disease management, elderly care, rehabilitation, and preventive healthcare. Despite their potential to improve healthcare accessibility, continuity of care, and early identification of abnormal physiological conditions, several challenges remain, including measurement reliability, wireless connectivity, interoperability, information security, patient acceptance, and unequal access to digital infrastructure. Effective implementation therefore requires more than technological integration; it also depends on clinical protocols, appropriate data management, trained healthcare personnel, and sustainable service models. Remote monitoring should ultimately complement conventional healthcare through coordinated, secure, and equitable patient-centered services.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Remote health monitoring has emerged as an important approach to extending healthcare beyond hospitals and clinical facilities. It combines physiological sensors, communication networks, information systems, and clinical services to support the observation of patients over distance. Its development is particularly relevant to chronic disease management, ageing populations, rural healthcare, and healthcare systems facing limitations in medical personnel and infrastructure.

Conventional healthcare monitoring generally depends on periodic measurements during clinical visits, which may not adequately capture changes in a patient's condition between appointments. Remote monitoring can provide more frequent observations and support earlier recognition of potentially important changes in physiological status. However, the value of these systems depends not only on technological capability but also on data reliability, clinical interpretation, patient engagement, and the availability of appropriate healthcare responses [1]–[3].

2. SENSOR TECHNOLOGIES FOR REMOTE HEALTH MONITORING

Sensors are the primary components of remote health monitoring systems because they convert physiological or behavioral conditions into measurable data. Their selection depends on the health parameter being monitored, measurement accuracy, patient comfort, energy consumption, and the intended monitoring environment. Current and emerging applications can be broadly organized into wearable, contact-based, and implantable sensing technologies.

2.1. Wearable and physiological sensors

Wearable sensors are designed to collect physiological information while allowing patients to perform their daily activities. Common examples include electrocardiogram sensors for cardiac activity, photoplethysmography sensors for pulse measurement, and temperature sensors for monitoring body temperature. Accelerometers and gyroscopes can additionally provide information about physical activity, posture, movement, and falls, making them relevant to elderly care and rehabilitation [1], [4].

Other important sensor technologies include blood pressure monitors, pulse oximeters, and glucose monitoring devices. These technologies support the observation of cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic conditions, particularly among patients requiring long-term management. Their practical performance, however, may be affected by motion artifacts, sensor placement, environmental conditions, calibration requirements, and individual physiological differences.

2.2. Implantable and body-area sensors

Implantable sensors provide opportunities to monitor physiological parameters from within the human body, particularly when continuous or highly localized measurements are required. Examples include implantable cardiac devices and sensors designed for monitoring internal physiological conditions. Although these technologies can provide valuable information, their implementation involves additional considerations related to biocompatibility, power supply, device maintenance, and patient safety.

Wireless body area networks (WBANs) connect multiple sensors positioned on, around, or inside the human body. A body-area coordinator collects information from sensor nodes and forwards it to an external device or communication gateway. This architecture supports coordinated monitoring of multiple physiological parameters and provides a technological foundation for mobile and remote healthcare applications [2], [3].

3. COMMUNICATION ARCHITECTURES

Communication architecture determines how physiological data move from sensors to healthcare information systems and clinical users. A typical remote monitoring system consists of four functional layers: the sensing layer, personal gateway, communication infrastructure, and healthcare application layer. These components must operate together to provide reliable data acquisition, transmission, storage, and interpretation.

3.1. Sensor and personal gateway layer

At the sensing layer, individual devices acquire physiological or behavioral measurements and transmit them to a nearby coordinator or gateway. Bluetooth and Bluetooth Low Energy are suitable for short-range communication between wearable devices and smartphones or dedicated monitoring hubs. ZigBee and IEEE 802.15.4-based technologies have also been investigated for low-power sensor networking, particularly where multiple sensor nodes must communicate efficiently [1], [2].

The personal gateway performs an important role in collecting and forwarding sensor information. Smartphones, tablets, and dedicated home-monitoring units can act as gateways between body-area networks and external communication infrastructure. They may also provide local data processing, visualization, temporary storage, and alerts when measurements exceed predefined thresholds.

3.2. Wide-area communication and healthcare platforms

After data reach the gateway, they can be transmitted through cellular networks, Wi-Fi, broadband connections, or other Internet-based communication services. Cellular communication supports patient mobility, whereas Wi-Fi and broadband are commonly suitable for home-based monitoring environments. The choice of communication technology depends on network coverage, transmission delay, energy consumption, reliability, cost, and the volume of physiological data.

At the healthcare application layer, transmitted measurements are stored and presented through monitoring platforms, electronic health records, or clinical dashboards. Healthcare professionals can review

trends, identify abnormal measurements, and determine whether further assessment or intervention is necessary. Telemedicine-based WBAN architectures demonstrate how body sensors, communication infrastructure, and remote clinical services can be integrated into a unified patient-monitoring framework [3].

3.3. Reliability, security, and interoperability

Reliable communication is essential because missing, delayed, or corrupted measurements can reduce the usefulness of remote monitoring. Wireless systems may experience interference, network disconnections, limited bandwidth, and battery constraints, particularly when patients move between different environments. These problems require appropriate data buffering, error detection, retransmission strategies, and mechanisms for identifying incomplete monitoring records [2], [5].

Security and interoperability are equally important for systems handling personal health information. Authentication, encryption, access control, and secure data storage are necessary to protect patient confidentiality and prevent unauthorized access. Interoperability also requires compatible data formats, communication protocols, and information systems so that measurements from different devices can be interpreted consistently across healthcare providers.

4. APPLICATIONS IN HEALTHCARE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Remote health monitoring has applications in chronic disease management, elderly care, post-hospitalization follow-up, rehabilitation, and preventive healthcare. For patients with cardiovascular or respiratory conditions, regular measurements can help clinicians observe changes that may require medical attention. Home telemonitoring has also been investigated for diabetes, hypertension, pulmonary diseases, and cardiovascular disorders, although the strength and consistency of clinical evidence vary across conditions [6].

For elderly populations, wearable devices can support activity monitoring, fall detection, and observation of selected physiological parameters. These capabilities may help patients maintain independence while allowing caregivers and healthcare professionals to receive relevant information. In rehabilitation, remote monitoring can provide information about physical activity and recovery progress between scheduled clinical visits.

From a public health perspective, remote monitoring may help extend healthcare services to rural and geographically isolated communities. It can reduce the need for some routine visits, support continuity of care, and provide healthcare professionals with information for identifying patients who may require additional attention. Nevertheless, remote monitoring should be understood as a complement to established healthcare services rather than a universal replacement for direct clinical examination.

5. PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

The public health value of remote monitoring depends on its ability to improve access, continuity, and responsiveness of healthcare services. By enabling measurements outside clinical facilities, these systems may support earlier intervention and more efficient follow-up for selected patient groups. Economic assessments of home telemonitoring have reported potential reductions in certain healthcare utilization costs, although outcomes depend on program design, patient characteristics, and the organization of clinical services [7].

Despite these opportunities, unequal access to digital infrastructure may limit the benefits of remote monitoring. Patients in rural areas may experience unreliable connectivity, while older adults and individuals with limited digital literacy may require assistance in operating monitoring devices. The cost of sensors, communication services, maintenance, and technical support can also create barriers for low-income populations and healthcare facilities with limited resources.

Another major challenge concerns the interpretation and management of continuously generated data. Large volumes of measurements may increase the workload of healthcare professionals if systems provide excessive alerts or lack effective prioritization mechanisms. Remote monitoring programs therefore require clear clinical protocols, appropriate thresholds, trained personnel, and defined responsibilities for responding to abnormal results.

Data privacy and governance must also be considered as essential public health requirements. Health information collected from wearable and home-monitoring devices can reveal sensitive details about individuals' physiological conditions and daily activities. Healthcare organizations should establish transparent consent procedures, secure information management, and appropriate policies for data sharing and retention.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future remote health monitoring systems are likely to emphasize more integrated, energy-efficient, and context-aware sensing architectures. Improvements in sensor miniaturization, battery technology, wireless communication, and embedded processing may increase the reliability and usability of wearable monitoring devices. Greater integration with healthcare information systems may also improve the continuity of patient records and clinical decision-making.

Another important direction is the development of intelligent monitoring platforms capable of identifying meaningful patterns in physiological data. Automated analysis may help distinguish normal variations from potentially significant changes and reduce unnecessary alerts. However, such systems require clinical validation, transparent decision processes, and careful assessment of their performance across different patient populations.

7. CONCLUSION

Remote health monitoring systems combine sensor technologies, wireless communication, and healthcare information platforms to support patient observation beyond conventional clinical environments. Wearable and implantable sensors, WBANs, mobile gateways, and Internet-based communication provide the technological foundation for applications in chronic disease management, elderly care, rehabilitation, and preventive healthcare. Their public health potential is substantial, but successful implementation requires reliable measurements, secure communication, interoperability, clinical integration, and equitable access. Ultimately, remote monitoring should be developed as part of a coordinated healthcare service in which technology supports, rather than replaces, professional clinical judgment.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Custodio, F. J. Herrera, G. López, and J. I. Moreno, "A review on architectures and communications technologies for wearable health-monitoring systems," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 13907–13946, 2012, doi: 10.3390/s121013907.

[2] S. Ullah *et al.*, "A comprehensive survey of wireless body area networks on PHY, MAC, and network layers solutions," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 1065–1094, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10916-010-9571-3.

[3] C. Chakraborty, B. Gupta, and S. K. Ghosh, "A review on telemedicine-based WBAN framework for patient monitoring," *Telemedicine and e-Health*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 619–626, 2013, doi: 10.1089/tmj.2012.0215.

[4] M. M. Baig and H. Gholamhosseini, "Smart health monitoring systems: An overview of design and modeling," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 37, no. 2, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10916-012-9898-z.

[5] G. D. Clifford and D. Clifton, "Wireless technology in disease management and medicine," *Annual Review of Medicine*, vol. 63, pp. 479–492, 2012, doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-051210-114650.

[6] G. Paré, M. Jaana, and C. Sicotte, "Systematic review of home telemonitoring for chronic diseases: the evidence base," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 269–277, 2007, doi: 10.1197/jamia.M2270.

[7] G. Paré, P. Poba-Nzaou, and C. Sicotte, "Home telemonitoring for chronic disease management: An economic assessment," *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 155–161, 2013, doi: 10.1017/S0266462313000111.